Perinatal Depression in the Spanish Context: Consensus Report from the General Council of Psychology of Spain


Background: Perinatal depression is a major public health problem, with an estimated prevalence of approximately 15% during the first postpartum year. Despite the high prevalence of postpartum depression, there is no consensus regarding assessment and treatment in the Spanish context. The General Council of Psychology of Spain convened a working group of experts in the field, including both academics and healthcare professionals, to review and propose recommendations based on evidence and best practices that could be applied in the Spanish context. Method: A literature search was completed in various databases (e.g., Medline, PsychInfo) including a combination of terms related to peripartum depression (PPD) assessment and diagnosis, prevention, treatment, and cost-effectiveness. A narrative synthesis of the literature has been conducted together with a critical overview of PPD with a special focus on the Spanish context. Results: In this consensus report, developing questions including prevalence and assessment tools, comparative effectiveness of preventive and treatment interventions, and cost-effectiveness of PPD management have been analyzed. Conclusions: The General Council of Psychology of Spain network advocates the establishment of compulsory screening protocols in all the autonomous regions. Also, it is necessary to promote the inclusion in maternal education of programs for the promotion of mental well-being and selective/indicated prevention carried out by a psychology professional with specialized training in the area. This consensus document also promotes the presence of a psychology professional with specialized training in the area.

La depresión perinatal en el contexto español: informe de consenso del Consejo General de Psicología de España

Antecedentes. La depresión perinatal es un importante problema de salud pública, con una prevalencia estimada de aproximadamente el 15% durante el primer año posparto. A pesar de la gran prevalencia de depresión posparto (DPP), no existe consenso sobre la evaluación y el tratamiento en el contexto español. El Consejo General de Psicología de España convocó a un grupo de trabajo de expertos en la materia, tanto académicos como profesionales sanitarios, para revisar y proponer recomendaciones basadas en evidencias y mejores prácticas que puedan aplicarse en el contexto español. Método. Se realizó una búsqueda bibliográfica en varias bases de datos (p.ej., Medline, PsycINFO) que incluía una combinación de términos relacionados con la evaluación y el diagnóstico de la DPP, prevención, tratamiento y coste-eficacia. Se ha realizado una síntesis narrativa de la literatura junto con una visión crítica de la DPP con un enfoque especial en el contexto español. Resultados. Las preguntas de desarrollo que incluyen prevalencia y protocolos obligatorios de cribado en todas las Comunidades Autónomas. Asimismo, es necesario promover la inclusión en la educación materna de programas de promoción del bienestar mental y la prevención selectiva indicada llevadas a cabo por un profesional de la psicología con formación especializada en el área.
Pregnancy and having a baby are critical life-course stages for women, which is associated with substantial psychological and physical changes that prepare women for the challenges of motherhood (Dunkel-Schetter, 2011; Hoekzema et al., 2017; Hoekzema et al., 2020). Having a baby is a significant and possibly joyful time in life, but it also could be the most stressful transition for most women. In this sense, for many women having a baby can be a source of feelings of sadness that they find challenging to manage. These changes represent a vulnerable period to suffer mental health problems, with depression and anxiety being the most common.

Perinatal depression is an inclusive term for a spectrum of depressive conditions that can affect mothers during pregnancy and mothers up to twelve months postpartum. These depressive conditions include antenatal depression, postpartum depression, and postpartum psychosis (Gavin et al., 2005; Gelaye et al., 2016). Antenatal depression occurs during pregnancy, whereas postpartum depression occurs four weeks after delivery and even one year after delivery according to some authors (Yu et al., 2021).

Perinatal depression has been established as a major public health problem (Meaney, 2018; Miranda & Patel, 2005). Proper identification and treatment should be a priority for our healthcare system. Perinatal depression does not only affect the mother and her well-being with symptoms such as insomnia and irritability to loss of self, guilt, and shame. These symptoms can lead to an undermining of the mother's confidence, impair her social functioning, and reduce her quality of life, with repercussions for the baby as well. The consequences are not only related to psychological aspects or maternal and infant well-being. The literature has described how perinatal depression is associated with adverse perinatal outcomes, including increased risk of poor adherence to medical care, poor nutrition (inadequate or excessive gestational weight gain), loss of interpersonal and financial resources, and smoking and substance abuse with its attendant risks (Slomian et al., 2019).

Sometimes, barriers to treatment can appear in women due to lack of time, the associated stigma, or lack of knowledge about perinatal depression and its consequences, preventing them from seeking solutions to the situation they are experiencing (Bina & Glasser, 2019; Gissert et al., 2018). However, and this is more worrying, there are other institutional barriers such as lack of personal resources or inadequate training, lack of time for consultations, and simply lack of recognition of the problem by the health system that prevents women from receiving adequate treatment (Abrams et al., 2009; Prevatt & Desmasarais 2018), or suicidal attempts or ideations (Castelao et al., 2022).

Despite the prevalence and negative consequences, in our healthcare system this condition remains undiagnosed and undertreated or treated below evidence-based standards. In response to this situation of lack of quality care in terms of assessment, prevention, and treatment, the General Council of Psychology of Spain convened a working group of experts in the field, including both academics and healthcare professionals, to review and propose recommendations based on evidence and best practices that can be applied. This group does not intend to establish new guidelines, but rather to systematize the existing ones and to call the attention of health managers so that this area does not continue to be under-diagnosed or under-treated.

Therefore, this consensus document aims, after reviewing the evidence, to establish the lines of action in the assessment, prevention, and treatment of perinatal depression, with special attention to the Spanish literature.

This document also pursues to promote the presence of the psychologist in the perinatal setting. Different professionals intervene in this period, though the presence of the psychologist is still merely anecdotal. These professionals should have specialized training in this field.

Finally, this document also aims to motivate an increase in research in this area in the Spanish context since, although it has increased in recent years, it is still scarce compared to other countries.

**Method**

This paper reports findings from a comprehensive narrative synthesis of previously published information on the topic of perinatal depression with special attention to what has been published in Spain.

A literature search focusing on perinatal depression was completed between 2000 and 2022 to identify relevant publications in this area.

The literature search utilized various databases (e.g., Medline, PsychInfo, and Google Scholar) using a combination of broad search terms, including peripartum depression (e.g., peripartum depression OR prepartum depression OR prenatal depression OR postpartum depression), AND diagnosis (e.g., diagnosis OR diagnostic criteria OR diagnostic tools), AND prevention (e.g., preventive interventions OR prevention OR prevention approaches), AND treatment (e.g., psychological treatment) AND (cost-effectiveness) AND (systematic review) AND (meta-analysis) AND (Clinical guidelines).

**Prevalence**

It is estimated that approximately one in five women develop a mental disorder during pregnancy and/or in the year following childbirth (National Childbirth Trust, 2017), with depression being the most common disorder (Dagher et al., 2021). Vulnerability to depression is increased in this period of a woman’s life since childbirth is a life event associated with numerous biological, hormonal, psychological, familial, and social changes.

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2013) reports that depression is around 14-23% during gestation and 5-25% postpartum. Recent international data highlight prevalence rates of perinatal depression between 15-20% in the USA (Rafferty et al., 2019) and between 17-25% in China (Mu et al., 2019). A meta-analysis of the prevalence of perinatal depression in mainland China (Nisar et al., 2020), assessed by self-report scales, found it to be 16.3%, with antenatal depression at 19.7% and postpartum depression at 14.8%. An increasing trend in perinatal depression was also found in the last decade.

A meta-analysis of Japanese women (Tokumitsu et al., 2020) included studies in which depression was assessed by self-report. It was found that, during pregnancy, depression increased as the due date approached (14% in the second trimester and 16.3% in the third trimester), and decreased postpartum as time elapsed (14.3% at 1 month postpartum and 11.5% at 6-12 months postpartum). The prevalence of postpartum depression was higher in first-time mothers than in multiparous mothers with an adjusted relative risk of 1.76.

In a multicenter study conducted in Italy (Cena et al., 2021), where the prevalence of pre-and postnatal depression was assessed through the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS), a prevalence of prenatal depression of 6.4% and 19.9% in the postpartum period was obtained. Importantly, they concluded that women with higher socioeconomic status were approximately five times less likely to develop prenatal depression and six times less likely to develop postpartum depression. This is in line with the finding regarding higher prevalence rates in developing countries relative to developed countries (Norhayati et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2011).

Perinatal depression also affects other family members, not only women. A meta-analysis with data from 21 countries that assessed the presence of paternal perinatal depression (Rao et al., 2020) points out that perinatal depression is common among fathers as well. A prevalence of paternal depression was found to be 9.8% during a woman’s pregnancy and 8.7% in the first year after delivery.
Table 1. Summary of PPD Prevalence Data

- Although the prevalence of perinatal depression differs according to the population studied and the assessment instrument used, in general, it is very high.
- In Spain, the prevalence of depressive symptomatology in pregnancy ranges between 14-15% and 23.4% and in the postpartum period between 21.7% and 30.3%.
- The prevalence of major depression is around 4-5% in pregnancy and 7.7% to 14.8% in the postpartum period.
- A high percentage of women with postpartum depression already had depression in pregnancy, but it had not been diagnosed. Therefore, this contributes to the higher prevalence of depression in the postpartum period compared to pregnancy.
- One year after giving birth, a high percentage of women are still depressed.
- The course of perinatal depression indicates that the most critical moments that require greater attention in pregnancy are the first and third trimesters and, in the postpartum period, up to a minimum of one year, especially if there has been a clinical depression in pregnancy.
- Perinatal depression has increased since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, reaching rates close to 50%.

Antenatal Depression

In Spain, the prevalence of moderate and severe depressive symptoms during pregnancy was found to range from 14.8% (Rodríguez-Muñoz et al., 2019) to 23.4% (Marcos-Nájera et al., 2020). Likewise, the prevalence differs according to the population studied, as the rate of antenatal depression is higher in immigrants, reaching 25.8% (Marcos-Nájera et al., 2020). In this regard, in a cross-cultural study conducted with women from Spain and Mexico (Marcos-Nájera et al., 2021), where the rates of antenatal depression were evaluated through the PHQ-9 scale, a depression rate of 10% was found in Spanish women and 20.3% in Mexican women.

Regarding the trimesters with the highest prevalence, a longitudinal study conducted in our country (Míguez & Vázquez, 2021a) found a prevalence of depressive symptomatology, assessed with the EPDS, of 23.4% in the first trimester, 17% in the second trimester and 21.4% in the third trimester. Regarding the prevalence of major depression, this was 5.1%, 4.0%, and 4.7%, respectively. Thus, the prevalence of antenatal depression follows a V-shaped trajectory, with the highest levels being obtained in the first trimester, decreasing in the second trimester, and increasing in the third trimester, but without reaching the levels of the first trimester. (See Table 1).

Postpartum Depression

Postpartum depression is one of the most prevalent psychological disorders in women (Zivoder et al., 2019). One fact to note is that more than half of the women who suffer postpartum depression are not new cases, but have suffered depression in pregnancy that has not been detected and, consequently, has not received the necessary treatment and, therefore, they carry it into the postpartum period (Míguez et al., 2017). This leads to the fact that the prevalence of depression is usually higher in the puerperium than in pregnancy. Likewise, in first-time mothers, the percentage of postpartum depression is usually higher than in multiparous mothers and can reach rates of 35% (Kendall-Tackett, 2016).

Different studies in our country show that depressive symptomatology in the postpartum period is very high, being approximately between 26.7% (Besteiro et al., 2001) and 30.3% (Vázquez & Míguez, 2022). Regarding major depression, it ranges from 7.7% at 6 weeks postpartum (García-Esteve et al., 2014) to 14.8% at 1 year postpartum (Vázquez & Míguez, 2022). In a longitudinal study conducted throughout the first postpartum year (Vázquez & Míguez, 2022) depression was assessed at three-time points: 2 months, 6 months, and 1 year postpartum. The prevalence of depressive symptomatology assessed with the EPDS was 30.3%, 26.0%, and 25.3%, respectively, and that of major depression was 10.3%, 10.9%, and 14.8%, respectively. Thus, throughout the postpartum year, the prevalence of depressive symptomatology was highest at 2 months and followed a downward trajectory, although it remained very high, and that of major depression was highest at 1 year postpartum and followed an upward trajectory.

Perinatal Depression and COVID 19

Several studies have focused on exploring how the COVID-19 pandemic has affected the mental health of pregnant women. Concerning perinatal depression, an increase in rates has been found, as can be seen in two studies carried out in our country. In a cross-sectional study, the impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of pregnant and puerperal women (whose children were less than 6 months old) was evaluated through self-report scales (Perinatal Experiences of Coronavirus COPE-IS; Thomason et al., 2020) and the EPDS (Cox, 1994). A perinatal depression rate of 47.2% was found (Motrico, Domínguez-Salas, et al., 2022). In another study, Chaves et al. (2022) evaluated a sample of women at the time of gestation and after delivery. Depressive symptoms were reported by 58% of women.

Risk Factors and Comorbidity

Risk factors are those variables, characteristics, behaviors, or conditions that are associated with a higher probability of occurrence of a disease or health problem (Giannini et al., 2012). In the field of perinatal mental health, this means exploring which biopsychosocial factors may increase the rates of occurrence of certain mental disorders, the most common being emotional disorders (depressive, anxious, and related disorders), although problems such as substance abuse (alcohol or other drugs) and psychosis, among others, are also included (Howard & Khalifeh, 2020; Johnson et al., 2012).

Currently, we have recent literature reviews on risk factors for perinatal mental health (Baron et al., 2017; Hutchens & Kearney, 2020; Míguez & Vázquez, 2021b; Yang et al., 2022), including in specific populations such as adolescent mothers (Recto & Champion, 2017) or military women (Klaman & Turner, 2016). In general, the first thing that different studies highlight in this regard is that the best predictor of depression at any time during the perinatal stage is the existence of previous and recent depressive symptomatology (Hutchens & Kearney, 2020). This points to the importance of an early assessment during the prenatal stage and detection that allows the implementation of secondary prevention programs that avoid the worsening of symptoms and thus minimize their consequences. The support of new information technologies will be key in this endeavor (Martínez-Borba et al., 2018).

In addition to a previous and recent history of mental health problems, review studies have evidenced that perinatal depression is particularly affected by the existence of physical or sexual abuse, for example in the form of male violence, whether current or previous (Van Niel & Payne, 2020). Studies suggest that male violence and previous and recent history of mental disorders could increase the probability of suffering perinatal depression problems by a factor of five (Yang et al., 2022).
### Table 2. Summary of the Variables most Frequently Related to Perinatal Depression and Other Psychological Problems during the Perinatal Stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological Factors</th>
<th>Psychological Factors</th>
<th>Social Factors</th>
<th>Obstetrical Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis.</td>
<td>Prior history of mental disorder (mostly prior depression and to a lesser extent prior anxiety)</td>
<td>Physical or sexual abuse</td>
<td>Multiparity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal changes (estradiol, progesterone, cortisol, prolactin)</td>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>Perceived lack of social or partner support</td>
<td>Difficulties during childbirth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of vitamin D</td>
<td>High stress (antenatal and/or childbirth)</td>
<td>Low economic level</td>
<td>Planned pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess fatty acid</td>
<td>Anxious-avoidant attachment styles</td>
<td>Low educational level</td>
<td>Health problems in the baby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certain genetic polymorphisms</td>
<td>Low perceived self-efficacy in infant care</td>
<td>Adolescence</td>
<td>Non-vaginal or instrumental delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged or excessive activation of the pro-inflammatory immune system</td>
<td>Passive coping style</td>
<td>Ethnic minorities</td>
<td>Risky pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low oxytocin levels</td>
<td>Rumination/preoccupation</td>
<td>Migrants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity (previous diseases)</td>
<td>Low motivation toward motherhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Variables that have received the most support and are most associated with perinatal mental health problems in the literature are indicated in bold.

Other biopsychosocial variables associated with increased likelihood of experiencing perinatal depression are health problems in the baby, a non-vaginal or instrumented delivery (Dekel et al., 2019), lack of social support (Racine et al., 2020), marital dissatisfaction (Whisman et al., 2011), low socioeconomic and educational status, ethnic minority background, high psychological, and biological stress (Glover et al., 2010; Lobel et al., 2022), high neuroticism (Martín-Santos et al., 2012), tendency to excessive rumination and worry (Osborne et al., 2021; Petrošanec et al., 2022), high perfectionism (Maia et al., 2012), anxious/insensitive attachment styles and passive coping (Gutiérrez-Zotes et al., 2015; Warfa et al., 2014), such as a tendency to self-blame and distractibility and difficulty reframing problems in a positive way (Gutiérrez-Zotes et al., 2015), low self- and partner motivation to have a baby (Reut & Kanat-Maymon, 2018), the existence of a substance abuse disorder (Chapman & Wu, 2013), family history of depressive or anxiety disorders, or the experience of multiple, unwanted, particularly difficult or adolescent childbirth (Hutchens & Kearney, 2020; Meuti et al., 2015; Puyané et al., 2022; Soto-Balbuena et al., 2018; Van Niel & Payne, 2020). In the case of adolescent females, in addition, family criticism, self-esteem, and perceived self-efficacy seem to become particularly important (Recto & Champion, 2017).

Another variable is ambivalence towards motherhood, understood as the coexistence of positive and negative feelings associated with being a mother (Martín-Sánchez et al., 2022), which has been traditionally silenced in this field and has been shown to play an important role in predicting a worsening of symptoms throughout the perinatal stage (Santos et al., 2017).

Another population at particular risk for perinatal mental health problems, such as depression and perinatal post-traumatic stress disorder, are migrant women who have approximately twice the risk of suffering depression than non-migrant mothers (Anderson et al., 2017). It seems that poor social support, lack of competence in the language of the host country, low socioeconomic status, refugee or asylum seeker status, and belonging to minority ethnicities would be some variables related to the occurrence of these disorders (Chrzan-Dętkoś, et al., 2022). This may be particularly relevant in Spain given the increasing multiculturalism of this country due to different migratory movements experienced in recent decades (Ledoux et al., 2018; Martínez-Herreros et al., 2022).

Review studies more focused on biological aspects also point to variables such as a dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, lack of vitamin D, excess fatty acid, some genetic polymorphisms, and prolonged, excessive activation of the proinflammatory immune system visible in levels of the cytokine interleukin IL-6 or low levels of oxytocin as risk factors for perinatal depression (Serati et al., 2016; Thul et al., 2020).

Regarding the difference between prenatal and postpartum risk factors, the key seems to lie in the fact that, in the latter, factors related to complications during delivery and infant health characteristics may appear in the equation, as described above, although we would not find major differences in other aspects (Martínez-Borba et al., 2020; Silverman et al., 2017).

The studies carried out in our country point in the same direction as the systematic reviews referenced in the previous lines, with depression during pregnancy being the best predictor of postpartum depression in different studies (Marín-Morales et al., 2018; Martínez-Borba et al., 2020). Furthermore, the association between the personality trait of neuroticism and postpartum depression (Marín-Morales et al., 2014) and the importance of comorbidity between anxiety and depression (Peñacoba-Puente et al., 2016) are also confirmed. Also in our context, it has been shown that being an immigrant increases the risk of suffering perinatal depression problems (Marcos-Nájera et al., 2020).

In summary, as shown in Table 2, the literature has already evidenced numerous risk factors for perinatal depression, with a previous history of psychopathology and physical and sexual abuse being the factors that have received the most support and would play the most important role.

### Diagnostic-Assessment Tools

Several national and international organizations have recognized the importance of routine screening of the population in this period. Thus, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2013) has published reviews in which they recognize the benefits of screening and recommend that it be performed along with other obstetric tests during the gestational period. In the same vein, the American Academy of Pediatrics also upholds the need for population screening in the postpartum period (Earls et al., 2019). The Task Force and NICE Guidelines similarly pick up on the need to establish good population screening (O’Connor, 2016; NICE, 2014). A recent systematic review of Clinical Guidelines on postpartum depression indicates that, out of a total of fourteen guidelines analyzed, ten include specific recommendations on screening in this population (Motrico, Moreno-Peral, et al., 2022).

In Spain, although tangentially, the Clinical Practice Guideline on Pregnancy and puerperium care (Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad [MSSSI, 2014]) also includes the importance of establishing follow-ups related to maternal mental health.

All these recommendations point to the need to use, in primary care settings, validated screening tools, including the PHQ-2 (Kroenke et al., 2003), the PHQ-9 (Kroenke & Spitzer, 2002; Manea et al., 2015), and the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; Cox et al., 1987). Indeed, the literature argues that both obstetric (Rodríguez-Muñoz et al., 2017) and pediatric (Earls et al., 2019) settings may be appropriate venues for the establishment of routine
screenings. These screenings would allow the detection of cases that should subsequently be evaluated with a clinical interview that would allow the establishment of an accurate diagnosis and, if necessary, intervention. The proposal for the screening of the perinatal population, included in this document, focuses on those questionnaires that have demonstrated good psychometric properties in Spanish samples. (See Table 3).

**Patient Health Questionnaire-9** (PHQ-9; Kroenke & Spitzer, 2002; Manea et al., 2015)

This questionnaire is used to assess depressive symptomatology and prevalence of antenatal depression (+; Kendig et al., 2017). The PHQ-9 is validated with Spanish-speaking populations and Spanish-pregnant women (Marcos-Nájera et al., 2018). Its nine items are based on the criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Disorders-5 (American Psychiatric Association [APA, 2013]). Responses are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale, where 0 is no day and 4 is almost every day. The PHQ-9 has good psychometric properties (Kroenker & Spitzer, 2002) good internal consistency and general health status questions patients report not feeling judged.

**Patient Health Questionnaire-2** (PHQ-2; Kroenke et al., 2003)

Consisting of only two questions, this questionnaire has been proposed by the NICE Guideline (MSSSI, 2014) as the first screening instrument in this population. There is a study in Spain, adapted to the Spanish pregnant population (Rodríguez-Muñoz et al., 2017), which demonstrates the good properties of the questionnaire. Depending on the results obtained, if the score obtained is higher than the point established for the Spanish population, the PHQ-9 questionnaire (see Table 3) or the interview should be administered to be able to establish a more precise conclusion about the presence or not of depressive symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Advantages of Use</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-2</td>
<td>It consists of two simple questions about mood. Completed by the patient or administered by a professional, nurse, or social worker. Social worker. Administered in less than one minute.</td>
<td>It is used to perform a first screening in a very simple way. Spanish version open and without economic cost.</td>
<td>A cutoff score ≥ 2 is adequate for discrimination of depression during pregnancy using the PHQ2 questionnaire, functioning as the pre-PHQ-9 screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>It is a questionnaire with 9 questions. Time Estimated response time 5 minutes. It contains a question for the evaluation of suicidal ideation and another qualitative question about the degree of discomfort of the symptoms perceived by the patient. Completed by the patient or administered by a professional. Can be used to screen for depressive symptoms or monitor the severity of symptoms during treatment.</td>
<td>It allows to establishment of a range of severity in depressive symptoms. Depressive symptoms. Being general questions about health status, the patient will not feel judged. Spanish version is open and free of charge. Economic cost. Allows screening for suicidal ideation.</td>
<td>RESULT 0: Quite unlikely, may indicate that the woman feels uncomfortable assessing her mood. Suggested action: ask openly if she has concerns about her mental health. OUTCOME &lt; 10. Minimal depressive symptoms. Give information about available mental health resources. Suggested action: suggest reassessment if the woman experiences changes. OUTCOME 10-14: Minor depression. Provide information on available mental health resources. Specifically assess the highest items. Re-administer questionnaires in 2-4 weeks. Offer preventive treatment. OUTCOME 14-19 Major Depression Antidepressants and/or Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. Behavioral Therapy. Offer specific treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDS</td>
<td>It is a questionnaire with 10 questions. Estimated response time 5-6 minutes. Contains a question for the evaluation of suicidal ideation. Completed by the patient or administered by a professional. Can be used to screen for depressive symptoms or monitor the severity of symptoms during treatment.</td>
<td>Spanish version in open and without economic cost. Allows screening for suicidal ideation.</td>
<td>EPDS -10: no risk of DPP Minimal depressive symptoms. Provide information on available mental health resources. Suggested action: Suggest reassessment if the woman experiences changes. Experiences changes. EPDS 10-12: Borderline risk of depression EPDS. Minor Depression. Provide information on available mental health resources. Mental health resources. Specifically, evaluate the highest items. Re-administer the questionnaires in a period of 2 to 4 weeks. Weeks. Offer preventive treatment. EPDS greater than or equal to 13: probable depression. Antidepressants and/or Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. Behavioral Therapy. Offer specific treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale** (EPDS; Cox et al., 1987)

This is the most widely used self-report scale to identify in women the risk of perinatal depression (Cox, 2019). The scale consists of 10 items that assess how women have been feeling during the previous week. Responses are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale, where 0 is no day and 4 is almost every day. This questionnaire has good psychometric properties (Cox & Holden, 1994). The EPDS scale has obtained good psychometric properties in Spanish samples both in pregnancy (Vázquez & Míguez, 2019) and postpartum (García-Esteve et al., 2003).

The EPDS includes anxiety symptoms, but excludes constitutional symptoms of depression, such as changes in sleep patterns, which may be common in pregnancy and postpartum and which could establish, if not adequately screened for, a higher number of false positives.

In any case, it should be emphasized that symptom screening is never a diagnosis and should be performed by a mental health professional. The European Clinical Guidelines (Motrico, Moreno-Peral et al., 2022) also emphasize the need for diagnosis. Thus, of the fourteen guidelines collected in the study, eight establish diagnostic systems in the perinatal population.

The main objective of the assessment by the mental health professional is to make an exploration of the patient’s psychological state. As secondary objectives, the aim is to identify risk and protective variables for the management of maternity as well as to begin to develop the therapeutic link.

In the exploration, it is important to assess at what point in the perinatal period (pregnancy or postpartum) the presence of symptoms begins. The DSM5-TR (APA, 2022) includes it with the specificity “postpartum onset or peripartum onset” if the symptoms began during pregnancy or within 4 weeks after delivery. It is important to make a proper differential diagnosis concerning brief psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. It should not be forgotten that in the immediate postpartum period, the main differential diagnosis is postpartum sadness or melancholy (Rezaie-Kekhaie et al., 2020).

In mood episodes, it is very important to explore the history of depressive symptoms. We also know about the high comorbidity with an anxious clinic, especially of anxiety crises (Falah-Hassani et al., 2017), so it would be important to explore it at present and history. (See Table 4). Psychotic clinic may present within an anxious episode or psychotic process.

In addition to exploring the aforementioned disorders, it is also important to differentiate this diagnosis from organic processes such as metabolic alterations of the thyroid hormone (Bourget et al., 2007), changes in neurotransmitters (Vahdat et al., 2007), or iron deficiency that causes depressive symptoms and hyperactivity (Murray-Kolb & Beard, 2009).

As we pointed out, it would be advisable to also perform an assessment of risk and protective variables, which can help us in the diagnosis and future intervention. Table 2 presented in section 4 of this document can be a reference for such an assessment.

**Prevention**

Preventive interventions in perinatal mental health are aimed at reducing risk factors and promoting protective factors in women without a diagnosis of perinatal depression, to reduce the incidence or onset of the mental health problem globally (Arango et al., 2018).

Prevention programs are classified into three types according to the type of population served: universal, selective, and indicated. First, universal prevention refers to programs focused on all women in the perinatal period regardless of whether or not they present risk factors for perinatal depression. Second, selective prevention programs narrow their focus to the more specific sector of women who, because of their characteristics or behaviors, would be more likely to develop perinatal depression. Third, indicated prevention programs focus on women who present symptoms but are in a subclinical state, therefore do not yet meet the criteria for a diagnosis of perinatal depression (Arango et al., 2018).

**Universal Prevention**

A holistic intervention that includes aspects of physical health and mental health during pregnancy and postpartum may be most appropriate within universal prevention programs for perinatal depression. Evidence suggests that promoting healthy lifestyles, especially physical exercise, can have positive effects on perinatal depression (Carter et al., 2019; McCurdy et al., 2017). In addition, psychoeducational interventions, including general pregnancy information, birth plan, and childbearing (adjusting expectations, working through myths, checking questions and answers, clarifying concepts), are advised for all mothers and their partners in the perinatal period. Evidence is also demonstrated for interventions that target the promotion of both emotional and instrumental social support (Dennis & Dowswell, 2013).

**Selective and Indicated Prevention**

Selective prevention is that which focuses on women who present psychosocial risk factors for perinatal depression in the perinatal context. It is recommended that at least the following risk factors be assessed: history of depression, anxiety symptoms, low socioeconomic status, teenage pregnancy, intimate partner violence, and the accumulation of stressful life events (Curry et al., 2019). These risk factors can be assessed with a clinical interview or standardized questionnaires such as the Postpartum Depression Predictors Inventory-Revised (PDPI-R; Rodriguez-Muñoz et al., 2017).

On the other hand, indicated prevention refers to women who experience depressive symptoms without meeting the criteria for a diagnosis of perinatal depression. Having symptoms of depression is in itself a risk factor for perinatal depression, so the evidence tends to recommend the same type of programs for both groups. In this sense, the studies conducted to date indicate that in those pregnant women who present risk factors and/or symptoms of depression, the application of psychological interventions is recommended, specifically cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and interpersonal therapy (IPT) (Curry et al., 2019; Rodriguez-Muñoz et al., 2021). Secondly, the application of psychosocial interventions, either at the individual or group level, is recommended during pregnancy and puerperium (Dennis & Dowswell, 2013).

In Spain, we have pioneering studies on the prevention of perinatal depression. Le et al. (2020) found that the “Moms and Babies” program was effective for the prevention of depression in pregnant women with risk factors at 3 and 6 months postpartum.

---

**Table 4. Depressive Episode (5 or more symptoms where one of them must be one of the first rows)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressed mood most of the time, almost every day for two weeks, and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loss of interest or ability to enjoy activities that the person usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enjoys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other symptoms that may occur: sleep disturbance, fatigue, restlessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or motor slowing, guilt, difficulty concentrating, and thoughts of death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant discomfort and deterioration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential diagnosis: substance abuse.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This study collects the results of the cultural adaptation of the “Moms and Babies” program to the Spanish reality.

Treatment

Effective treatments for postpartum depression are essential for the public health system. Today there are empirically validated pharmacological and empirically validated psychological interventions for its treatment (O’Hara et al., 2015). The number of randomized controlled trials testing interventions has greatly increased since the 1990s. However, many women with perinatal depression do not receive proper treatment. This is due, in part, to difficulties in accessing mental health specialists and, in many cases, the absence of psychological measures in routine screening protocols in early care (Rodríguez-Muñoz et al., 2019).

NICE (2014) guidelines emphasize the use of therapy in those patients with a previous history of depression or with moderate or severe levels. On the other hand, a recent review of clinical guidelines (Motrico, Moreno-Peral, et al., 2022) highlights how, out of 14 European guidelines on postpartum depression, 10 include information on psychological interventions. (See Table 5). Along the same lines, several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have endorsed the efficacy of psychological treatments to reduce symptoms of depression both prenatally and in the postpartum period (Branquinho et al., 2021; Cuijpers et al., 2021). These psychological interventions span approaches from CBT, behavioral activation (e.g., Lee et al., 2016; Ponting et al., 2020), IPT (e.g., Nilini et al., 2018), mindfulness-based interventions (e.g., Huang et al., 2018; Ponting et al., 2020), psychological or social support (e.g., Mendelson et al., 2017), and psychoeducation or education-based interventions (e.g., Nair et al., 2018). Overall, these interventions have shown a moderate to large effect (Cuijpers et al., 2021). Their results are positive in the short and long term (6-month follow-up). CBT has been shown to be the most effective intervention regardless of its type (e.g., individual vs. group; face-to-face vs. telematic) (Branquinho et al., 2021). CBT is included in evidence-based treatment guidelines as the first line of treatment for perinatal depression (NICE, 2014). In addition, the US Preventive Services Task Force in its review of more than 470 studies shows that the application of CBT in women with pre- and postpartum depression detected by screening represents a significant increase in the likelihood of referral compared to usual care, with absolute increases ranging from 6.2% to 34.6% (O’Connor et al., 2016). IPT has been shown to be effective to reduce perinatal depression although these results are inconsistent. It should be noted that contextual or third-generation behavioral interventions (e.g., mindfulness-based, compassion, ACT) have shown promising results, although there is not yet sufficient evidence on their efficacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention (review studies)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Main components and number of sessions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (e.g., Lee et al., 2016; Loughnan et al., 2019; Mendelson et al., 2017; Nilini et al., 2018; Ponting et al., 2020)** | Psychological treatment comprises cognitive components (for example, identifying and challenging unrealistic negative thoughts) and behavioral components (for example, helping a person to do more rewarding activities). | Based on Milgrom et al. (2005):  
- Symptoms of depression. Myths about motherhood. Partner support.  
- Mood. Stress and Anxiety. Relaxation.  
- Managing negative thoughts. Health concerns.  
- Increase positive thoughts  
- Plan for the future. New routines and strategies.  
Number of sessions: 8 |
| **Behavioral activation (e.g., Lee et al., 2016; Ponting et al., 2020).** | Psychological treatment to improve mood by re-engaging in activities that are task-oriented and used to be enjoyed, despite the current low mood. It may be used as a stand-alone treatment and is also a component of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) | Based on Dimidjian et al. (2017):  
The provider and the participant collaboratively develop a case conceptualization and treatment plan based on the behavioral model of depression. Core treatment strategies included:  
- Self-monitoring.  
- Structuring and scheduling activities,  
- Problem-solving,  
- Increasing social support.  
- Interpersonal communication skills  
Number of sessions: 10 |
| **Interpersonal therapy (IPT) (e.g., Nilini et al., 2018)** | Psychological treatment by linking depressive symptoms and interpersonal problems, especially those involving grief, marital disputes, life changes, and social isolation. | Based on Grote et al. (2010):  
This intervention focuses on four interpersonal problem areas:  
- Role transition  
- Role dispute  
- Grief  
- Interpersonal deficits  
Between-session behavioral activation strategies that have an interpersonal focus are encouraged. Biweekly or monthly maintenance IPT sessions are provided to prevent depressive relapse by helping participants deal effectively with the social and interpersonal stressors associated with remission.  
Number of sessions: 8 |
| **Mindfulness-based interventions (e.g., Huang et al., 2018; Ponting et al., 2020)** | Mindfulness-based interventions involve the practice of meditation to develop the skill of paying attention in a particular way, purposefully, in the present moment, and non-judgmentally. | Based on Dimidjian et al. (2017):  
This intervention includes:  
- Formal mindfulness practices (i.e., body scan, and yoga),  
- Informal mindfulness practices (i.e., mindfulness of daily activities and the 3-min breathing space),  
- Cognitive behavioral skills (i.e., monitoring pleasant and unpleasant events, identifying thoughts and their relationship to emotion, identifying relapse signs, and developing action plans).  
Other components are psychoeducation about perinatal depression and transition to parenthood, and self-compassion, and social support.  
Number of sessions: 8 |
for perinatal depression. Therefore, it is still early to compare their results with those of other widely used treatments, such as CBT or IPT (Branquinho et al., 2021). All these works have in common the inclusion of content on the mother-baby dyad, psychoeducation on postpartum depression, interpersonal communication skills, or the increase of pleasant activities or positive thoughts. Regarding the format of psychological interventions, different formats have been found effective (internet-delivered or face-to-face, individual or group) (Branquinho et al., 2021) Also it is important to note that a follow-up session has been highlighted as part of the intervention. The application of the treatment for perinatal depression must take into account the specific difficulties of this period. Women tend to have difficulties in attending sessions related to practical aspects (breastfeeding, mobility problems, etc.).

Regarding the most current proposals, we highlight the use of transdiagnostic psychological interventions, where the Unified Protocol (Barlow et al., 2018) for the treatment of perinatal anxious and depressive symptoms or disorders stands out. This CBT-based intervention focuses on the training of adaptive emotional regulation skills. Spain has been a pioneer in this line with the realization of the first adaptation of the Unified Protocol for the treatment of a case of perinatal depression (Crespo-Delgado et al., 2020). In the coming years, randomized clinical trials will be developed applying the Unified Protocol for the prevention and treatment of emotional disorders.

A Multidisciplinary Treatment

In relationship with pharmacological treatments, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the most prescribed (Brown et al., 2021; Kittel-Schneider et al., 2022; Wisner et al., 2006), although it should be pointed out that their efficacy depends to a large extent on adherence to treatment. There are still a few randomized controlled trials on the use of antidepressants in the perinatal stage. Considering the lack of knowledge about the efficacy and safety of antidepressants during the prenatal stage and lactation and the concern about the effects that medication may have on the fetus or its infants during breastfeeding (Kim et al., 2011), pharmacotherapy is usually reserved for more severe cases (O’Hara et al., 2015). Likewise, it is important to highlight how psychological treatment is the treatment preferred by women in this period since they understand that it will not have side effects for the fetus or breastfeeding. It is for this reason that psychological interventions are the treatment of choice for many women in the perinatal stage, especially those with a first episode of depression (O’Connor et al., 2016). Therefore, it is essential to emphasize the need for psychology professionals specialized in the field. Coordination with other professionals (obstetricians, midwives, nursing staff, or primary care physicians) is a basic issue, but this should not make us forget that it is the psychologist who must perform the psychological interventions.

Cost-effectiveness

Despite the existence of effective psychological treatments for the management of perinatal depression, only about 10-15% of women have access to them (Gavin et al., 2005; Goodman & Tyer-Viola, 2010; Woolhouse et al., 2009). This situation, untreated maternal depression, results in a severe impact on the mother (e.g., chronification of symptoms, risk of suicide, loss of productivity, and decreased quality of life) and on the fetus/baby (e.g., premature delivery, increased pediatrician visits or increased hospital emergency department visits, emotional problems, behavioral problems, or poor school performance), which in turn translates into high economic costs for health and social care systems (Bauer et al., 2014). For example, in the United Kingdom (UK) the estimated total cost of a single case of PPD is around £74,000, where £23,000 relates to the mother and £51,000 related to adverse effects on the child (Bauer et al., 2014). Nevertheless, in Spain there are no studies on the topic and therefore the actual cost within the health care system is unknown.

Some recent studies show how psychological care offered during pregnancy and postpartum to vulnerable women significantly reduces the economic cost to health systems (Franta et al., 2022). Although more research is needed in this regard, as there is great heterogeneity in cost-effectiveness studies in the treatment of perinatal depression (Camacho & Shields, 2018), it seems clear that maternal mental health care offers great benefits both at the personal level and at the social and community levels. This is why having or providing specialized services for this population (both for prevention and treatment) within health systems is recommended.

Conclusions

Perinatal depression is a problem of global importance, with negative repercussions on the woman herself, the baby, family dynamics, and society (Slomian et al., 2019; Organización Mundial de la Salud [OMS, 2018]). This makes its identification and treatment a public health priority (Meaney, 2018). However, there are barriers to diagnosis and treatment such as women’s lack of time, associated stigma, lack of knowledge about PPD, and lack of personal resources, training, or time in the consultation among others, which prevent providing quality care in the perinatal period. It is necessary to systematize the existing guidelines and establish lines of action in the evaluation, prevention, and treatment of PPD. In contrast to all the work published on perinatal depression in the Anglo-Saxon context, in which screening and treatment of the population are promoted, in Spain it is still a problem that is underdiagnosed and undertreated. There is also the problem of the scarce presence of psychology professionals in Spain in this area.

The narrative synthesis of this work compiled the results of previously published information on the subject of perinatal depression. It is described that approximately one in five women develops PPD (National Childbirth Trust, 2017), in turn, this disorder also appears in men, although the prevalence is lower, around 9% (Rao et al., 2020). Specifically in Spain, in women a prevalence of around 15% is observed in the antenatal period (Rodríguez-Muñoz et al., 2017), being higher in the first and third trimesters (Míguez & Vázquez, 2021a) and around 27% in the postnatal period (Besteiro et al., 2001), in which many of the cases are untreated depression in pregnancy. At two months postpartum, it seems to be the time of highest prevalence and decreases over time, except for cases of major depression which follows an upward trajectory (Vázquez & Míguez, 2022). After the pandemic caused by COVID-19, these figures have increased, reaching a prevalence of 47% (Motrico, Domínguez-Salas, et al., 2022).

Among the related risk factors, mental health history (Hutchens & Kearney, 2020) and the existence of current or previous physical or sexual abuse (Van Niel & Payne, 2020) stand out as the best predictors. Numerous studies have been conducted to detect risk factors related to the development of PPD, offering a multidimensional picture of perinatal depression, which is influenced by biological, psychological, social, and obstetric factors. Thus highlighting the relevance of a biopsychosocial approach and the need to evaluate in this period, a task for which new information and communication technologies could be a key tool (Martínez-Borba et al., 2018).

It has been proposed to assess as part of routine consultations during this period (ACOG, 2018), in that way to obtain a follow-up of maternal mental health (MSSSI, 2014) and an adequate screening. For this, the most relevant tools in the Spanish version would be the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9; Marcos-Nájera et al., 2018),...
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