Functional Analytical Psychotherapy (FAP) (Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991; Tsai et al., 2012, 2009) is a contextual therapy that is part of the so-called third generation therapies (Hayes, 2004; Pérez-Alvarez, 2012). One key feature is to reconsider the therapeutic context itself as the fundamental interaction for a change in behaviour to occur. The functional analysis of the therapeutic relationship is proposed as fundamental as it assumes that the clinical setting is a natural condition where a client's problems may occur, and also an opportunity for the client to make improvements. FAP is an idiographic therapy, which is based on the principles of functional analysis of a client’s behaviour during the session. It includes contingencies of natural reinforcement and shaping that happen within the clinical session itself. In addition, FAP establishes the functional equivalence of the behaviour in the context of a session with other outside behaviours, which occur during the daily life of an individual (Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991, 1995).

The change process focuses on a client’s direct behaviour, what the client does and/or says within the session (including talking, thinking, feeling, seeing, hearing, remembering, emotional responses, etc.), which are the so-called “clinically relevant behaviours” (CRB). There are three types of these behaviours, which the therapist must learn to identify as therapeutic goals (Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991, 1994, 1995; Kohlenberg et al., 2009). CRB type 1 are the client’s problems that are revealed during the session, usually complaints and problems that cause him/her to suffer, and whose frequency should be measured. CRB type 2 are the client’s problems that are not revealed during the session, and whose frequency should be measured. CRB type 3 are the client’s problems that are revealed during the session and whose frequency should be measured.

The functional analysis of the therapeutic relationship is proposed as fundamental as it assumes that the clinical setting is a natural condition where a client’s problems may occur, and also an opportunity for the client to make improvements. FAP is an idiographic therapy, which is based on the principles of functional analysis of a client’s behaviour during the session. It includes contingencies of natural reinforcement and shaping that happen within the clinical session itself. In addition, FAP establishes the functional equivalence of the behaviour in the context of a session with other outside behaviours, which occur during the daily life of an individual (Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991, 1995).

The change process focuses on a client’s direct behaviour, what the client does and/or says within the session (including talking, thinking, feeling, seeing, hearing, remembering, emotional responses, etc.), which are the so-called “clinically relevant behaviours” (CRB). There are three types of these behaviours, which the therapist must learn to identify as therapeutic goals (Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991, 1994, 1995; Kohlenberg et al., 2009). CRB type 1 are the client’s problems that are revealed during the session, usually complaints and problems that cause him/her to suffer, and whose frequency should be measured. CRB type 2 are the client’s problems that are not revealed during the session, and whose frequency should be measured. CRB type 3 are the client’s problems that are revealed during the session and whose frequency should be measured.
be reduced by the therapist. They are under the control of aversive stimuli and often have an avoidance function. CRBs type 2 are the client's improvements that are made during the session, which should occur progressively over the course of the therapy. CRBs type 3 are the client's interpretations of his/her own behaviour and what he/she believes causes it. Therefore, they are sentences about functional analysis in his/her own words. They involve observations and descriptions of a client's own behaviour, and the reinforcing, discriminative, and eliciting stimuli associated with it.

Additionally, FAP takes into consideration what the therapist must do, so it proposes 5 “therapeutic rules” that the professional must always keep in mind during the session. These rules help the therapist to evoke, point out, reinforce, and analyse the client's behaviours. The first rule consists of observing possible CRBs during the therapeutic session. The second rule proposes building a therapeutic environment that evokes CRBs. Rule number 3 consists of organizing the positive reinforcement of CRB-2 in a natural way. Rule number 4 seeks to observe the reinforcing properties of the therapist's behaviour in regard to a client's CRBs. Finally, rule 5 tries to generate in the client a regard to a client's CRBs. Finally, rule 5 tries to generate in the client a reinforcing, self-induced disabling ruminations about his job and his future. Social withdrawal, sadness, not getting out of bed, and continuous crying.

### Table 1: Characteristics and Problems of all Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Follow-up (month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Ángela</td>
<td>28 Female</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Choke phobia</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Antonio</td>
<td>36 Female</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Specific phobia</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>José</td>
<td>58 Male</td>
<td>Depression, sick leave, self-induced disabling ruminations about his job and his future. Social withdrawal, sadness, not getting out of bed, and continuous crying.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Antonio</td>
<td>31 Male</td>
<td>OCD, Depression, Sexual problems</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Leticia</td>
<td>26 Female</td>
<td>OCD, Spaces Anxiety</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Juan</td>
<td>42 Male</td>
<td>Anxiety, OCD</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Manuela</td>
<td>34 Female</td>
<td>Obsessive and compulsive health-related problems, continuous medical readings and consultations.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Carlos</td>
<td>59 Male</td>
<td>Anxiety, Sexual relations.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Verónica</td>
<td>29 Female</td>
<td>Anxiety and depressive problems. Social withdrawal. Abandonment of responsibilities, family, studies, tasks, commitments, etc. Self-invalidating thoughts.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of its transdiagnostic character, based on therapeutic relationships, FAP has been applied to a wide variety of problems: anxiety, depression, obsessions, sexual problems, relationships with partners, chronic pain, personality disorders, etc. Also, it has been successfully applied in different populations (adults, children, adolescents, abused women, people with chronic problems, etc.), and different modalities (individual, groups, brief applications). Studies about efficacy and effectiveness have increased in empirical literature in recent years. Single-case descriptive studies have been published about FAP (Ferro et al., 2010; Ferro et al., 2012; López-Bermúdez et al., 2010); also there have been studies with clinical cases with single-subject design (Singh & O'Brien, 2018) and with different types of problems and countries (Ansarypour & Abedi, 2019; Aranha & Oshiro, 2019; Muñoz-Martínez, 2018). However, group effectiveness studies are less frequent in published literature, such as those of Gaynor and Lawrence (2002) about adolescents with depression where authors combined cognitive-behavioural therapy with FAP in the interactions of live experiences. Maitland and Gaynor (2012) conducted a first study with 8 participants who were afraid of personal and intimate relationships, showing the efficacy of FAP for those social relationships. Holman et al. (2012) carried out two trials with a short 4-session FAP treatment, one with 7 people and another with 6. The whole process focused on personal and couple relationships and also showed the efficacy of FAP at improving close personal relationships. Also Vandenberghe et al. (2018) and Ruiz-Sánchez and Ruiz-Miñarro (2018) has published a procedure for group therapy with FAP.

Comparative effectiveness studies are also scarce. So Kohlenberg et al. (2002) compared the efficacy of FAP when added to cognitive-behavioural treatment for depressive problems. Combining both components increased the efficacy in all participants, but follow-up showed that the outcomes were better in those who had received FAP. In a study by Gifford et al. (2011) comparing the contribution of FAP, ACT, and Bupropion treatments to smoking cessation, the results were better in both short and long-term for those participants that received a combination of FAP + ACT. The study by Maitland et al. (2016) compared a group of 11 clients who had various anxiety problems treated with FAP, versus another 11 participants on a waiting list. In the results, those who received FAP had better outcomes and greater adherence to the therapeutic relationship. An extensive review of all these studies can be found in Mangabeira et al. (2012), Valero-Aguayo and Ferro-García (2015, 2018), and Kanter et al. (2017).

Because of the idiographic characteristic of FAP, there are few publications with groups, so this study aims to show the efficacy of FAP in a group of clients with different psychological disorders and problems, with different possible diagnoses, and different personal characteristics. The objective is to show that a treatment based on
the direct change of behaviour (using behavioural techniques) in the clinical session, and that uses the client-therapist relationship as a context for that change, can produce clinical and vital effects regardless of the diagnostic category of the problems.

Thus, the aim is to demonstrate the efficacy of the idiographic approach of FAP. The process centres on the therapeutic relationship itself, where the mechanisms of behaviour change are more important than the topography or formal diagnosis of that behaviour. To show this efficacy, FAP has been applied to 10 participants with different problems (anxiety, depression, obsession, sexual problems, personality disorders), who may have different diagnoses, and have behaviours included in several diagnoses at once. For this purpose, an intra-group design has been carried out with pre- and post-treatment measurements, as well as a follow-up assessment one year later.

Method

Participants

Different clients from a private clinical centre were the participants for this study. The total sample consisted of 10 people (5 males and 5 females), with ages from 25 to 59 years old. The inclusion criteria were that they had psychological problems related with private events, emotional disturbances, and cognitive anxiety or personality problems. Given the caseload of problems attending private consultations, these were specifically selected for the study because the problems with private and emotional events made them more suitable for applying FAP, rather than a more traditional approach to other cases. They were informed about the characteristics of treatment and clinical process in the first session, and signed an informed consent to record the dialogues during the sessions, and to use the information and data for research, in all cases the anonymity of that information on publishing being assured. To that end, the names and identification characteristics are altered and disguised. Table 1 shows the fundamental data of those participants, including the number of session treatment and time for follow-up. These are the specific descriptions for each participant, including what problems (CRB1 in daily life) were fundamental as behaviour goals for therapy.

Instruments

For all the participants the same standard questionnaires were applied to assess depression, anxiety, and acceptance behaviours. All participants were different, but the use of the same instruments allowed us to compare the general results of therapy.

Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II; Beck et al. 1996; Spanish adaptation from Sanz et al., 2011). It is a standard for depression assessment widely used from the first version. It has 21 items with a different alternative response for each one. The range score could be from 0 to 63, and according to the original authors and the Spanish adaptation, a score from 20 to 28 indicates mild depression and a score of 29 and over is severe depression. This second version and the Spanish adaptation has a high index of reliability (between .87 and .90) and good diagnostic efficiency (ROC = .91) for depressive and non-depressive people.

Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ; Hayes et al., 2004; Spanish adaptation from Barraca, 2004). This is an instrument for the measurement of experiential avoidance, when a person is unwilling to experience private emotions, and the opposite concept like psychological acceptance, when a person can observe those private events, especially negative or anxious, without escaping or avoiding them. It has 9 items scored in a Likert scale from 1 (never) to 7 (always) on the extent to which the description of emotional items is true for the person. The original has an internal reliability of .70 and test-retest reliability of .64. The Spanish adaptation has a Cronbach alpha of .74 and test-retest of .71. They are not cut-off points, but the Spanish sample was a mean of 34.61 and clinical sample was 44.71 points.

Anxiety Sensitivity Index-III (ASI; Petterson & Reiss, 1992; Spanish adaptation from Sandin et al., 2001) is a self-report instrument for the measurement of the sensitivity for anxiety (physical, cognitive, and social concerns). It has 16 items valued in a Likert scale from 0 = nothing, to 4 = enormous anxiety, with total score range from 0 to 64. It has a good reliability index (from .80 to .91), temporal consistency (from .42 to .85), and some correlations with other scales on negative effect and psychopathological variables. There are no cut-off points and the normative mean sample was 19.01 points.

Design

An intra-group with repeated measures was used in order to test the effects of the treatment on all the participants. Data were recorded at pre-treatment, post-treatment, and follow-up after a year in most cases. Because of the different number of treatment sessions for each person, the time period between measurements are not exactly the same.

Data Analysis

Because of the small sample, we used Student’s t for small samples with related measures for comparing each questionnaire by pairs. Also, the normal Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for all variables was applied. The comparisons were between pre and post, where it was supposed that significant changes would be found; and later between the post and follow-up results, where it was supposed that changes would never happen because efficacy was maintained over a long period of time. SPSS-22 Mac software was used for data analysis.

Treatment

The same therapist, with more than 15 years of clinical experience, treated all the cases and was formed in FAP working and supervised in-group by other therapist. The process was the same in all the clinical cases. Several textbooks that explain FAP in detail have already been published, both by the original authors in English (Holman et al., 2017; Kohlenberg & Tsai, 1991; Tsai et al., 2012; Tsai et al., 2009), and the publications in Spanish about it (Valero-Aguayo & Ferro-García, 2015, 2018). Readers can find details in those publications about concepts, procedures and application of FAP in numerous clinical cases, with details of dialogues, examples, and helps for therapists.

First session was the general interview, informed consent, and application of different questionnaires. In this session the therapist looked for CRB1 in their behaviour into the clinical context and in their lives. Table 1 summarises main problems (CRB1 in daily life) for each participant. They can receive diverse psychopathological categories, but FAP is an idiographic approximation and use functional analysis to assess main problems and variables that could cause them. All the participants had emotional problems, anxiety, depressive behaviours, and personality problems at same time. A single category could not be used for those cases. Also, FAP could be considered as a transdiagnostic approximation to psychological problems (Ferro-García & Valero-Aguayo, 2017; Wetternet & Hart, 2012).

In that process, with each participant, the therapist made “case conceptualization” (Ferro-García et al., 2009; Tsai et al., 2009) writing a scheme of the principal information: relevant history, live CRB1, within-session CRB1, cognitive statements, within-session CRB2, live CRB2, and possible CRB3.
For example, case 1 (Angela) was a 27-year-old woman, married, with a 2-year-old son, who worked on sales. She suffered from anxious, depressive behaviours, and phobia of choking when eating in public, with an extreme weight-loss (20 Kg), and a history of obsessive-compulsive checking behaviours about somatic complaints. In session she spoke continuously and compulsively about eating, spoke very loudly, ignored the therapist's questions, and asked him for incongruent and off topic questions. The total therapy was over 11 FAP consultations, with a follow-up at 11 months later. In a similar way, case 2 (Antonia), case 9 (Carlos), and case 10 (Verónica) suffered anxious and depressive problems.

Antonia was a 36-year-old woman, married, with an 8-year-old daughter, who worked in a family restaurant. She has also obsessive thoughts about soiling or defecating herself, self-medicating, and avoided social situations and traveling if not strictly necessary because of those worries. She left all projects unfinished. Into the session she had CRB1 like asking for the bathroom or repeated phrases about those preoccupations that was affecting her social and family life.

Carlos was a 59-year-old man, married, with 6 children, who was a businessman, and had also dysphoria, episodes of anger, and withdrawal; his marital relationship had deteriorated and avoided personal relationships because he also avoided speaking with others. In CRB1 within the session he did not speak clearly, gave mysterious answers, and did not complete the questionnaires and episodes of open hostility towards the psychologist. The therapy consisted of 16 FAP consultations, and follow-up took place 12 months later.

Veronica was a 29-year-old woman, single, living with her parents, and preparing state examinations. She had also episodes of withdrawal and total abandonment of responsibilities, tasks, personal care, studies, social relationships, etc. She made continuous self-invalidating statements. Those sentences were also her CRB1 within session; he spoke repeatedly about her past, about her image, or physical appearance. The therapy consisted of 22 FAP sessions, and the follow-up was 15 months later.

Other group of participants have also anxiety and depressive behaviours, the most important being obsessive, ruminative, and compulsive behaviour of different types. Some problems had case 3 (José), case 4 (Antonio), who had a formal diagnostic as OCD by other professionals, similar to case 5 (Leticia), case 6 (Miguel), and case 7 (Juan).

José was a 58-year-old man, married and with three children. He was a manager of an aggregated plant affected by the financial crisis. He had intense depressive behaviour and was on sick leave. He cried and complained continuously about his life, his ruminations were always on the same topic. He was inactive and avoided personal relationships. As CRB1 in consultation, he complained continuously about the financial situation, self-invalidating comments, and demanding care form the therapist. Therapy took over 18 FAP sessions, encouraging activation, and follow-up was at 13 months.

Antonio was a single 31-year-old man, studying for competitive state examinations for judiciary. He had depressive, obsessive, and compulsive behaviours with checking rituals. He had obsessive worries about health and contaminating issues. He declared himself as gay, but with sexual identity problems. He had episodes of withdrawal, avoided social relationships, but sometimes he had impulsive sexual behaviours, dating with strangers, and strong feelings of guilt afterwards. The CRB1 within session were repetitive behaviours like looking at the clock, getting nervous and laughing when answering questions, avoiding touching objects, frequent questions about "control". Therapy was carried out in 24 FAP consultations, and follow-up was at 14 months.

Leticia was a 25-year-old woman living with her partner and working as an office administrator. She felt abandoned by her parents at divorce, and had anxiety attacks and intense fear of being abandoned. She avoided going out alone, and also avoided driving. Among the CRB1 within sessions she had signs of nervousness and repeatedly questioned about what was happening to her, being also hostile towards the therapist at the beginning. The therapy was based on 14 FAP sessions, and the follow-up was 10 months later.

Miguel was a 24-year-old man, living with his parents and sister, and working in agriculture on a family farm. He had avoidance behaviour related to anxiety and health-related ruminations. He took his blood pressure and pulse rate compulsively. The origin was a sudden heart attack of his uncle that recently died. He had also self-concept problems and social relationships with strangers. As CRB1 he presented difficulties asking questions in a clear and direct way; he made self-invalidating comments and avoided to speak about intimate issues. Therapy took 12 FAP sessions, and follow-up was carried out 10 months later.

Juan was a 42-year-old man, married, with two children. He worked as a welder in a factory. He had patterns of obsessive behaviour related to health, visited several doctors, consulted the Internet repeatedly about health, read medical reports. In sessions as CRB1 he referred to illnesses with "disguised control" in order to obtain reassuring answers; he complained continuously of pains and discomfort. In the most severe episodes, he isolated himself and avoided social relationships.

Case 8 (Manuela) had also obsessive thoughts, but with self-invalidating statements, thoughts related with hurting someone, avoiding personal and intimate relationships. She was a 34-year-old woman, living with her partner and not working outside home. CRB1 in session was alluding repeatedly to her past and remembering episodes of mistreatment by her mother, looking at the clock repeatedly and showing signs of discomfort. Therapy lasted 13 FAP consultations, and follow-up was at 12 months.

Treatment for each case was idiographic but following the rules of FAP, and applying fundamentally the shaping of CRB1 and reinforcing of CRB2 and CRB3. The therapist created collaborative relationships, validating the person, but analysing the functions of the problems they presented. For instance, looking for the reaffirmation of obsessive-compulsive behaviours, analysis of their avoidance functions. So, the therapist taught the clients to observe and feel the emotions they aroused, but without avoiding them. The therapist shaped the fears and avoidance within the session facing those anxiety thoughts. Also, he shaped and blocked asserts about the past, and the FAP exercise of “writing with the non-dominant hand” was also carried out in order to observe and feel emotions without avoiding them. He blocked statements about the past, guilt of others, past family or work episodes, etc. The therapist always asked about emotional behaviours within the session and their feelings in daily life. As sessions progressed, more CRB2 appeared, which meant improvements in clients' problems. In this way, the therapist reinforced speaking naturally about him/herself and his/her tastes, speaking more confidently, without anger or anxiety. Also, he used some experiential exercises to feel emotions and their functions and, in some cases, he used ACT metaphors about thoughts and literality of words.

In order to create CRB3, the therapist tried to compare continuously the problems in and out the session. Also, progressively, the therapist was reducing the number of complaints and invalidating claims. In some cases, he tried to create new rules or "pliances" about their lives, more congruent with natural contingencies in their families or work settings, and eliminate rigid rules such as “I am...” “I have to... I must...” “At the end, clients made functional analysis about their relationships with families or strangers. The therapist was reinforcing the improvements inside and outside the sessions, validating attitudes and values when they self-exposed to social situations, as well as they managed their emotions and relationships, including intimate and sexual interactions in some of the cases.
Results

We first describe relevant interactions with each participant during sessions and the improvements occurring in and outside consultation related with the final changes in the day-to-day life of participants. Then, we present the statistical analysis comparing pre-, post-, and follow-up questionnaire data as a complete group.

Clinical Cases

We calculated the percentage of change for each client. In Table 2 all the direct data for each questionnaire in pre-, post- and follow-up assessments are presented. Also, it shows percentages of change that are always negative, because all the questionnaire scores decrease after treatment in all the clients.

In the first assessment 5 participants had depression levels above criteria in BDI-II, also 3 participants above criteria in AAQ-II, and all of them were above the mean of anxiety in ASI-III. After the treatment with FAP, all the participants were within the criteria of normality in depression, all scoring less than 20 points. Similarly, everything was below the criteria for anxiety and experience avoidance in AAQ-II and ASI-III. The general percentage of change was -30.16 in BDI-II, -28.89 in ASI-III. The general percentage of change was -30.16 in BDI-II, also 3 participants above criteria in AAQ-II, and all of them had a child. Case 9, Carlos, began to express himself clearly and coherently in his speech; he began to be more open with his wife, and intimacy and sexuality between them improved.

The therapy and showed stability almost a year after treatment finished. Of these, they showed significant clinical changes in their daily life. No other asked questions and he did not seek confirmations about diseases, managing his obsessive thoughts better, and he did not react emotionally when he had this type of thoughts, all this improving his family life and social relationships. Case 8, Manuela, handled her emotional episodes well, rarely spoke about her past, neither in the consultation nor with her husband, her marital relationships improved, and she had a child. Case 9, Carlos, began to express herself clearly and coherently in her speech; he began to be more open with his wife, and intimacy and sexuality between them improved, and also his mood and social relationships were better.

In accordance with hypothesis, differences do not appear between post- and follow-up measures; for BDI-II, t = 17, gl = 9, p = .864; for AAQ, t = .93, gl = 9, p = .373; and for ASI-III, t = -1.63, gl = 9, p = .137. That way, the results showed fundamental changes after the therapy and showed stability almost a year after treatment finished.

To value the amplitude of the change gained after treatment, Cohen’s d and effect size was calculated for each questionnaire. According to Cohen’s (1969) criteria, all the effects were high; for BDI-II was d = -2.01 (95% CI = -3.53, -0.49); for AAQ-II was d = -3.80 (95% CI = -5.27, -2.33), and for ASI-III was d = -2.77 (95% CI = -4.00, -1.54). That way, results have significance from a group and statistical point of view, the scores in the questionnaires decreased, with fewer depression behaviours and less anxiety, also with less avoidance and more acceptance of emotions, showing more personal value in their life. Simultaneously with those quantitative results, they showed significant clinical changes in their daily life.

Table 2. Direct Scores in Each Questionnaire for all Participants in Pre-, Post-, and Follow-up Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>FU</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>FU</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>FU</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ángela</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-31.75</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-36.51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-39.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-30.16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-26.98</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-49.21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-22.22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonio</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-61.90</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-23.81</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leticia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-12.70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-28.57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-36.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miguel</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-15.87</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-31.75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuela</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-23.81</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-20.63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlos</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-15.87</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-31.75</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verónica</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-46.03</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-39.68</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-36.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 29.9 (10.9), 10.8 (30.16), 37.5 (29.3), 19.3 (19.7), -28.89 (15.3), -19.05 (61.3), -25.71 (16.1), -25.71 (8.63)

SD: (12.4), (5.0), (13.65), (6.2), (2.7), (3.0), (4.83), (7.9), (2.4), (2.9), (8.63)

Note: BDI-II (Beck Depression Inventory II); AAQ-II (Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II); and ASI-III (Anxiety Sensitivity Index-III). Data in black indicate a score above the criterion.
The principal CRB1 changed for each participant, and they showed CRB2 with self-exposure and new social repertoires in familiar contexts; clinical changes were evident for the clients themselves and relatives (Figure 1).

Discussion

The results of this study, both as a group and as idiographic clinical cases, have shown the efficacy in reducing the initial problems that clients presented in the consultation and in their daily life. Individual results showed these clinical changes, endorsed by family members and improvements in their social, personal, and professional relationships. Cases included in this study would have different psychopathological diagnoses, ranging from anxiety disorder, or obsessive disorders, including obsessive-compulsive disorders, depressive disorders, sexual identity disorders, sexual inhibition, or personality disorders; even several of them would have characteristics of more than one disorder. The FAP approach involves evaluating the individual case, performing a functional analysis of the various problems presented by the client, and applying a treatment based on therapist-client interaction within the session itself. Unlike other cognitive-behavioural approaches, in FAP cognitive and emotional problems are behaviours themselves, and can be changed by the same behaviour modification principles applicable to other habitual behaviours. The difference here, too, is that these principles are applied naturally, so reinforcement and shaping occur throughout therapist-client dialogues. Some of these interactions have been explained in the case descriptions, and examples of dialogues can be found in textbooks (Tsai et al., 2012; Tsai et al., 2009; Valero-Aguayo & Ferro-García, 2015, 2018). Verbal rules of “pliances”, metaphors examples, and self-revelations are also used, as are other contextual therapies, in order to change the rules that a client initially brings to the consultation. It is not the “cognitive structures” that are changed, but language and verbal rules that help an individual to govern his or her own life, ceasing to avoid, and facing their fears.

As other clinical case studies with FAP and some studies with groups had shown (see reviews by Ferro-Garcia et al., 2016; Kanter et al., 2017; Mangabeira et al., 2012), the efficacy of this therapy in reducing problems and making the individual a participant in his or her own life is evident. The final results with FAP are not only to reduce the problems, but also to get the individual to be able to relate socially and intimately with others. In this study, 10 different cases have been presented, of different ages and different social contexts, showing this efficacy. Perhaps the fact of selecting the cases in the private consultations, for their suitability to a FAP therapy, having in common the cognitive, emotional and personality problems, can entail a problem in the generalization to other cases. However, FAP is a therapy focused on personal, emotional, and intimate relationships between therapist and client. So, FAP does not aim to be a therapy for everyone and everything.

The possibilities for generalisation are limited to a one-to-one type of consultation, and to a type of lifestyle problems such as those described above. Larger studies would be needed, with larger samples, and especially applied in public contexts that would allow comparison of larger groups, and comparison with groups treated with other common cognitive-behavioural therapies. Thus, an absolute efficacy of FAP cannot be stated, because it has not been compared to control groups or a waiting-list group. However, we must point out the difficulty of working in a private clinical context and doing research at the same time. It would be unethical to leave a group of clients waiting or without treatment; they would certainly leave the practice. One way to add more experimental control in a private context would be to use continuous measurement during the session, especially using observational techniques to record how CRBs change throughout the therapeutic process. Indeed, one question that remains to be answered in FAP is which part corresponds to the relationship (Kuei et al., 2019) and which part to the procedures or techniques that are used (Villas-Boas et al., 2016). In FAP the relationship is the context or the vehicle that allow the natural application of procedures. It remains to be investigated in detail how techniques (i.e., positive and natural reinforcement, verbal rules of “pliances”, experiential exercises, differential reinforcement, and shaping) have an effect through this relational process. This is an objective that we are already working on in the research team.
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