ARTICLE IN PRESS

Psicología Educativa (2020) xx(x) xx-xx



Psicología Educativa

https://journals.copmadrid.org/psed

Impact of Fragile X Syndrome on Their Families

Concha Iriarte-Redín^a, Manuel Soriano-Ferrer^b, and Beatriz Hidalgo-Alés^b

^aUniversidad de Navarra, España; ^bUniversidad de Valencia, España

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 October 2019 Accepted 4 May 2020 Available online 24 July 2020

Keywords: Fragile X Syndrome Family Impact

Palabras clave: Síndrome de X frágil Familia Impacto

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study is to describe the behavioral and emotional disturbances in the Fragile X Syndrome (FXS) and to analize the impact of having a member with FXS on different aspects of family functioning. A sample of 79 parents with a member with FXS and 80 parents with a member with typical development participated in the study. Results showed that between 17% and 66% of children and adolescents with FXS displayed significant behavioral and emotional disturbances and fewer prosocial behaviors. In addition, after controlling for behavioral and emotional difficulties, our results showed that families affected by FXS experienced a more negative impact on feelings about parenting, finances, siblings, and the degree of difficulty of living with a child or adolescent with FXS, and greater family adaptability and cohesion than the comparison group. In conclusion, our results highlight that it is important to consider these differences in clinical practice when supporting and counselling families affected by FXS.

Impacto del Síndrome de X frágil en sus familias

RESUMEN

El objetivo del presente estudio es describir las alteraciones conductuales y emocionales en el síndrome de X frágil (SXF) y analizar las reperecusiones de tener un miembro con SXF en diferentes aspectos del funcionamiento familiar. Participaron 79 padres con un miembro con SXF y 80 padres con un miembro con desarrollo típico. Los resultados mostraron que entre el 17% y el 66% de los niños y adolescentes con SXF mostraron trastornos conductuales y emocionales significativos y menos comportamientos prosociales. Además, después de controlar las dificultades emocionales y de comportamiento, nuestros resultados mostraron que las familias afectadas por SXF experimentaron un impacto más negativo en los sentimientos relativos a la crianza de los hijos, las finanzas, los hermanos y el grado de dificultad de vivir con un niño o adolescente con SXF y más capacidad de adaptación familiar y cohesión que el grupo de comparación. Como conclusión, nuestros resultados destacan que en la práctica clínica es importante tener en cuenta estas diferencias al apoyar y asesorar a las familias afectadas por SXF.

Fragile X Syndrome (FXS) is the main hereditary cause of intellectual disability (ID) and the most common, known monogenic disability (Kerr et al., 2015; Klusek et al., 2014). It is caused by a mutation in the FMR1 gene, located on the X chromosome. The prevalence rates provided by the literature vary considerably, with an incidence in men ranging from 1 in 2,500 to 1 in 7,000, and in women from 1 in 4,000 to 1 in 11,000. In Europe, the overall prevalence of the disease is estimated at 20 out of every 100,000 inhabitants (Chevreul et al., 2016; Hunter et al., 2014). Children and adolescents with FXS typically have moderate to severe intellectual disability < 55 (Raspa et al., 2017).

In addition to cognitive delay, research has reported that children and adolescents with FXS have an increased risk of behavioral and emotional disorders compared to peers with typical development (TD). Neuropsychiatric phenotypes include a high risk of autism spectrum disorders (Chevreul et al., 2015; Chromik et al., 2019; Hare et al., 2016; Klusek et al. 2014; Moskowitz & Jones, 2015; Thurman et al., 2014), as well as other behavioral symptoms (Raspa et al., 2017): a) between 36% and 93% of individuals with FXS have associated hyperactivity and attention deficit (Angriman et al., 2015; Hare et al., 2016; Hartley et al., 2012; Thurman et al., 2016; Wheeler et al., 2016; Hartley et al., 2012; Thurman et al., 2014; Wheeler et al., 2008); b) around 70% of individuals with FXS have emotional problems, such as anxiety and depression (Bailey et al., 2012; Cordeiro et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2014; Wheeler et al., 2014), and often show characteristics of social anxiety,

Correspondence: manuel.soriano@uv.es (M. Soriano Ferrer).

ISSN: 1135-755X/© 2020 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Cite this article as: Iriarte-Redín, C., Soriano-Ferrer, M., & Hidalgo-Alés, B. (2020). Impact of Fragile X Syndrome on their families. *Psicología Educativa*. Ahead of print. https://doi.org/10.5093/psed2020a12

including gaze avoidance, delay in initiating social interactions, and difficulty forming meaningful peer relationships (Holsen et al., 2008); and c) other distinctive features of FXS are behavior problems, including tactile defensiveness, hand flapping, poor eye contact, tantrums, perseveration, hyperarousal to sensory stimuli, and impulsivity (Muller et al., 2018; Symons et al., 2010). More than 30% of children and adolescents with FXS have committed acts of aggression, with their parents or caregivers even requiring medical attention in some cases (Bailey et al., 2012). Self-injury (e.g., hand and finger biting) is also common in about 79% of individuals with FXS (Symons et al., 2010). For example, Wheeler et al. (2016) pointed out in their study that 83% of females and 92% of males between 3 and 67 years old with FXS had participated in at least one aggressive act. More than a third of the males (38%) and 18% of the females had participated in an aggression serious enough to be diagnosed or treated for this reason (30% had caused injuries to their caregivers, and 22% had caused injuries to their peers or friends). The most common form of physical aggression was hitting, pushing, or kicking (54% of males and 31% of females). Less common was bullying (8% of males and 10% of females) or threats to others (19% of males and 7% of females). Behaviors such as tantrums, defiance, and arguments affect approximately 50% of all the age and sex groups. These difficulties may continue into adulthood (Chromik et al., 2019; Frolli et al 2015)

This phenotype has a clear impact on families because it increases parenting demands and stress, influences family functioning, and requires families to reorganize and adapt their routines (Burke & Heller, 2016; Gardiner et al., 2018; Schlebusch et al., 2016; Summers et al., 2005; Wheeler et al., 2008).

Although the link between FXS and lower parental wellbeing has been well established, including more stress, higher levels of depression, and health problems (Hayes & Watson, 2013; Miodrag et al., 2015), the degree to which FXS might affect broader aspects of family functioning has been less investigated.

In this regard, in the study by Lewis et al. (2006), mothers of children with FXS claimed to have less privacy than mothers of children with other types of disabilities. In addition, Hartley et al. (2012) found that 15.9% of parents with FXS children reported depressive symptoms that required clinical intervention, compared to 30.4% of parents of children with ASD and 6.8% of parents of children with DS. Thus, parents of children with FXS had higher wellbeing than parents of children with ASD and lower than parents of children with DS.

Behavior problems are considered a strong predictor of parental stress. According to Cantwell et al. (2015), a child who exhibits challenging behavior in a public place can be very problematic and distressing for parents because of feelings of perceived stigma (feeling judged), hostile encounters with the general public, feelings of isolation, and a sense of impotence.

A prospective study conducted in Germany between 2013 and 2016 observed 75 people with FXS from two to 82 years old in their social environment, showing the considerable burden experienced by the parents and the person with FXS. The main caregivers were either the parents or one parent in the case of 62 patients (82.7%). The fact that in 17 cases (22.7%) there were siblings with FXS means that these families had an additional burden (Haessler et al., 2016).

In addition, families of individuals with FXS require significant resources of a non-medical nature that have a considerable economic cost, especially in the case of children (Bailey et al., 2012; Ouyang et al., 2014). In France, the estimated annual direct cost per patient per year is €25,800 (Chevreul et al., 2015).

In turn, a family environment with high stress levels affects a child's self-regulatory development and social competence. That is, the impact is bidirectional and affects the quality of life of the entire family system (Summers et al., 2005).

In general, therefore, higher stress levels, lower general wellbeing, a greater prevalence of health problems (depression and anxiety), poorer social relationships, negative parenting feelings, less marital satisfaction, and greater economic and care demands have been found (Cantwell et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2017; Hall et al., 2016; Hartley et al., 2012; Minnes et al., 2015; Patton et al., 2018; Wheeler et al., 2016; Wheeler et al., 2008; Woodman et al., 2015).

However, research is not conclusive because some positive effects also appear (Berglund et al., 2015): better parent-child interaction, more family cohesion, and a greater sense of purpose in life and of priorities (Grein & Glidden, 2015; Wheeler et al., 2008). Resilience, coping strategies, family routines, financial resources, and social support seem to be "protective" factors against the negative effects mentioned, and they contribute to wellbeing by improving the quality of life, not only of the disabled child, but also of the whole family (Grein & Glidden, 2015; Jess et al., 2018; Migerode et al., 2012; Minnes et al., 2015; Norling & Broberg, 2013; Schlebusch et al., 2016; Usher et al., 2018), including the siblings (Iriarte et al., 2015; McHale et al., 2016; Rossetti & Hall, 2015).

Objectives

Our first objective was to describe levels of emotional and behavioral difficulties in a Spanish sample of children and adolescents with fragile X syndrome (FXS), as well as the percentage of children with abnormal scores on each subscale. Our second objective was to analyze the impact of FXS on different aspects of the family: a) to analyze the perceptions of parents of children with FXS about family environment – parents' feelings and attitudes, social life, family finances, marital relationships, relations among siblings, as well as general questions related to the degree of difficulty of living with a child with FXS and the influence this has on the family; b) to determine the effect of FXS on family adaptability and family cohesion. Given the difficulties involved in raising and educating children with FXS, compared to children without FXS, we expected to find significant differences between the two groups.

Method

Participants

In order to carry out this study, the participation of two groups of families was required: one group composed of families of children or adolescents with FXS and the other group composed of comparison families with a child or adolescent with typical development. Thus, participants in the present study were 159 families with children and adolescents, corresponding to these two groups. All the children were boys. The FXS group was composed of 79 families with a child or adolescent with FXS between 6 and 17 years old, with a mean age of 12.3 (SD = 3.9). Parents reported the intellectual disability severity levels: 34.2% mild (*n* = 27), 54.4% moderate (*n* = 43), and 11.4% severe (n = 9). Children and adolescents were diagnosed with FXS in the first year of life (10.1%), between 2-3 years old (35.4%), between 4-6 years old (48.1%), and after the age of 6 (6.3%). The majority of the FXS sample were taking some kind of medication (n = 59, 74.6%). The comparison group was composed of 80 families with a child or adolescent without disability between 6 and 17 years old, with a mean age of 13.2 (SD = 3.6). No statistically significant differences were found between the groups in chronological age, $F_{(1, 158)} = 2.330$, p < .129, $\eta^2 = .015$.

Table 1 includes family demographic information for the FXS and comparison groups. In both groups, questionnaire respondents were mothers (77.2% for FXS and 67.5% for the comparison group), and the majority of the parents were married or living together (82.2% for FXS and 95% for the comparison group). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups of families on fathers' age (range: 34-56), $F_{(1, 158)} = .329$, p < .567, $\eta^2 = .002$, or mothers' age (range: 33-54), $F_{(1, 158)} = 4.781$ p < .130, $\eta^2 = .003$. Moreover, 77.2% of the children and adolescents with FXS had siblings with no disability, and 17.7% had more

than two siblings with intellectual disabilities (range 2-4), whereas only 7.5% of the comparison children and adolescents had a sibling with a disability (see Table 1). Finally, with regard to occupations, most of the fathers had a paid job in both groups, whereas around 20% of mothers in both groups did not have a paid job. Occupations of fathers and mothers were distributed in four skill levels (see Table 1), in accordance with the international standard classification of occupations (International Labour Organization [ILO, 2012]).

 Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Families with FXS and Comparison

 Group

	Fragile X	S (<i>n</i> = 79)	Comparison (N = 80)		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Child's/adolescent's age	12.30	3.90	13.20	3.60	
Mother's age	43.29	5.15	44.11	5.34	
Father's age	45.56	6.38	46.14	6.37	
Respondent	п	%	п	%	
Mother	61	77.20	54	67.50	
Father	4	5.10	16	20.00	
Both parents	14	17.70	10	12.50	
Marital status	п	%	п	%	
Married-living together	65	82.20	76	95.00	
Single/widower	3	3.70	4	5.00	
Separated	11	13.90	0	0	
Siblings with disability	п	%	п	%	
No siblings	4	5.00	6	7.50	
Without disability	14	17.70	68	85.00	
1	47	59.50	6	7.50	
> 2	14	17.70	0	0	
Fathers' occupations ¹	п	%	п	%	
Without a paid job	0	0	8	10.50	
Skill level 1	13	16.45	7	9.20	
Skill level 2	21	26.58	18	23.70	
Skill level 3	30	37.97	33	43.40	
Skill level 4	12	15.18	10	13.20	
Mothers' occupations ¹	п	%	п	%	
Without a paid job	22	27.80	17	21.25	
Skill level 1	17	21.50	15	18.75	
Skill level 2	13	16.50	10	12.50	
Skill level 3	21	26.60	28	35.00	
Skill level 4	6	7.50	10	12.50	

Note. ¹Based on International Standard Classification of Occupations (2012).

Instruments

Demographic information. Respondents gave information about their age and that of other family members, gender, parents' occupation, intellectual disability severity level, siblings with disabilities, age of FXS diagnosis, and marital status.

Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 2001). The SDQ consists of 25 questions designed to gather information about emotional and behavioral difficulties experienced by children from 3 to 17 years old, as well as information about impairments in daily functioning (available for viewing and downloading at www. sdqinfo.com). For scoring purposes, the SDQ categorizes strengths and difficulties into five scales: emotional problems (e.g., often unhappy, down-hearted); conduct problems (e.g., often has temper tantrums or hot temper); hyperactivity (e.g., restless, overactive, cannot stay still for long); peer problems (e.g., considerate of other people's feelings). Each item receives a score between 0 (*strongly disagree*) and 2 (*strongly agree*). The test has been shown to have criterion validity and good test-retest reliability after four and six months (mean .62). Furthermore, the internal consistency is satisfactory,

with a Cronbach's alpha ranging from .57 to .88 (Goodman, 2001). The confirmatory factor analysis carried out by Ortuño-Sierra et al. (2017) in a large Spanish sample confirmed a five-factor model as the more appropriated and showed an internal consistency for Total Difficulties score of .84, ranging from .75 to .78 for SDQ subscales. Moreover, the SDQ has been found to be a useful instrument to assess difficulties experienced by children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities (Emerson, 2005).

Family Impact Questionnaire (FIQ; Donenberg & Baker, 1993). This questionnaire had been translated by one author following the International Test Commission Guidelines for Translating and Adapting Tests (International Test Commission, 2017). The FIQ has 50 items that assess parents' perceptions of their child's impact on their families, compared to the impact that "most children his/her age have on their parents/family" (e.g., "I am more embarrassed by his/ her behavior in public"). Forty-eight items are rated a 4-point Likert scale, and the last two items (49 and 50) are rated on a 7-point Likert scale. The questionnaire consists of six scales: a) impact on social life (10 items), b) negative feelings toward the child (9 items), c) positive feelings toward the child (6 items), d) impact on finances (7 items), e) impact on the marriage (7 items), and f) impact on siblings (9 items). The last two items refer to general questions related to the degree of difficulty of living with their child and its influence on the family. In the current sample, scale reliabilities ranged from r = .81 to .92.

Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scales (FACES-III; Olson et al., 1985). The abbreviated Spanish version was used (Martínez-Pampliega et al., 2006), consisting of 20 items, 10 of which were from the adaptability dimension and the other 10 from the cohesion dimension. Cohesion is the emotional attachment system that family members have with each other, and adaptability is understood as the ability of that system to change (assertiveness, leadership, negotiation). The internal consistency analysis of the items in the cohesion dimension presents a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of .82, and .79 in the case of the adaptability dimension. The instrument has good construct validity, demonstrated by the goodness of fit indices obtained by the models tested.

Procedure

Parents with a child or adolescent with FXS were recruited by the Spanish FXS Federation [Federación Española de FXS]. To reach a national audience, we recruited a wide array of national organizations to post announcements on their websites. Associations sent e-mails to all members asking them to complete and return the questionnaires to us. All participants were given detailed information about the study, and complete anonymity of the collected data was guaranteed. No patient identification was required. The families included were those who declared that they had a family member between 6 and 17 years old suffering from FXS and completed the questionnaire. Because the questionnaires were anonymous, making follow-up impossible, no verification of the diagnosis was undertaken by a physician. The study was conducted with the understanding and consent of the participants, and it was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Valencia, which is regulated by Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects according to the Declaration of Helsinki in 1964 (World Medical Association, 2013).

Results

Emotional and Behavioral Difficulties in Children and Adolescents with Fragile X Syndrome

Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was computed, based on dependent variables, with the group of origin as the grouping

	Fragile X S ($n = 79$)			Comparison (<i>n</i> = 80)			F		
SDQ domains	Mean	SD	% (n) Abnormal score	Mean	SD	% (n) Abnormal score	r _(1, 158)	p^1	η ²²
Emotional symptoms	3.96	1.93	40.8 (29)	1.35	1.6	7.5 (6)	80.910	.001	.23
Conduct problems	2.58	1.72	22.5 (22)	1.43	1.32	7.5 (6)	21.338	.001	.12
Hyperactivity	7.38	2.63	66.2 (47)	3.73	2.53	7.5 (6)	75.286	.001	.33
Peer problems	4.39	2.52	66.2 (47)	1.13	1.23	2.5 (2)	105.526	.001	.41
Prosocial behaviors	6.32	1.85	16.9 (12)	8.42	1.72	7.5 (6)	49.906	.001	.25
Total difficulties	18.31	5.93	60.6 (43)	7.62	4.57	5 (4)	155.614	.001	.51

Table 2. Comparison of Families with FXS and Comparison Group on Emotional and Behavioral Difficulties

Note. ¹Level of significance with Bonferroni correction (.008); ²values between .01 and .10 were considered small effect sizes, values between .10 and .30 were considered medium, and values above .30 were considered large.

factor. Next, a between-groups analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for each measure. The level of significance was established after applying the Bonferroni correction (.008). Furthermore, the effect size was calculated through eta-squared (η^2). Additionally, we computed the percentage of cases where parents reached (or surpassed) the cut-off point established for each scale on the SDQ.

The MANOVA showed significant main effects by group (Wilks' lambda (Λ) = .461, $F_{(5, 145)}$ = 33.895, p < .000, η_p^2 = .53), with a large effect size.

Results of between-group ANOVAs showed significant differences. The FXS group scored significantly higher than the comparison group on emotional symptoms (rated using the SDQ scale), conduct problems, hyperactivity, peer problems, and total difficulties, with medium to large effect sizes in all cases. Moreover, the FXS group had lower prosocial behaviors, with a medium effect size (see Table 2).

As Table 2 shows, the percentage of cases with abnormal scores was higher in the FXS group than in the comparison group. Thus, in the FXS group, the percentage of cases with abnormal scores ranged from 16.9% for prosocial behaviors to more than 60% for hyperactivity, peer problems, and total difficulties, whereas in the comparison group the percentage of cases with abnormal scores was below 7.6% on all scales (see Table 2).

Family Impact of Fragile X Syndrome

To carry out our second objective, due to the high incidence of emotional and behavioral difficulties of children and adolescents with FXS, we performed a multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) with SDQ total difficulties as covariate, based on the dependent variables, with the group of origin (FXS vs. comparison) as the grouping factor. Next, a between-groups analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed for each measure. The level of significance was established after applying Bonferroni correction (.005). Additionally, we reported effect sizes using the partial eta squared statistic (η_p^2), which estimates the proportion of total variance accounted for by the independent variables.

ARTICLE IN PRES

The MANCOVA, with SDQ total difficulties as covariate, showed significant main group effects (Wilks' Lambda (Λ) = .439, $F_{(9, 100)}$ = 14.191, p < .000, η_p^2 = .56), with a large effect size.

Results of between-group ANCOVAs showed significant differences. The FXS group scored significantly higher than the comparison group on negative feelings about parenting, impact on finances, and siblings, and the degree of difficulty of living with a child with FXS, with large effect sizes in all cases (see Table 3). However, there were no significant differences between groups on social life, positive feelings about parenting, marriage, or the impact of the child on the family.

In relation to family adaptability and family cohesion, the ANCOVAs reported significant differences between groups. Thus, the FXS group scored higher than the comparison group on family adaptability, and family cohesion, with large effect sizes.

Discussion

The aims of this study were to describe levels of emotional and behavioral difficulties in a Spanish sample of children and adolescents with FXS and analyze the impact of having a child with FXS on different aspects of family functioning. The results of the study contribute to the knowledge about the behavioral phenotype of children and adolescents with FXS and, specifically, the impact of FXS on family functioning.

Overall, our results found that between 17% and 66% of children and adolescents with FXS displayed significant behavioral and emotional disturbances. In this regard, children and adolescents with FXS displayed more conduct problems, hyperactivity, peer problems, and emotional problems, as well as fewer prosocial behaviors. Our

Table 3. Means and Standard Deviations of	of Families with FXS and Compari	son Group on Family	/ Impact Measures

	Fragile X S ($n = 79$)		Comparison $(n = 80)$		Г	1	22
Family Impact Questionnaire (FIQ)	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	$F_{(1, 158)}$	p^1	η_p^{22}
Social life	9.87	7.95	1.33	1.15	6.509	.012	.06
Negative feelings about parenting	7.75	2.45	4.28	1.71	30.306	.001	.23
Positive feelings about parenting	15.31	2.49	15.58	6.91	0.003	.957	.00
Finances	9.84	4.55	3.54	3.81	19.889	.001	.16
Marriage	10.29	3.99	9.50	3.52	3.407	.068	.03
Siblings	11.38	4.68	9.87	2.84	11.653	.001	.10
49. Degree of difficulty living with child	4.58	1.17	1.67	1.58	32.973	.001	.24
50. Impact of child on family	3.21	1.67	4.29	1.07	1.120	.292	.01
FACES III							
Family adaptability	38.98	4.54	36.54	7.28	24.290	.001	.19
Family cohesion	43.28	4.36	39.45	6.11	39.895	.001	.28

Note. ¹Level of significance with Bonferroni correction (.005); ²for this statistic, values between .01 and .05 are considered a small effect size, values between .06 and .12 a medium effect size, and values above .13 a large effect size (Richardson, 2011).

results are consistent with previous literature (Bailey, 2012; Cordeiro et al., 2011; Haessler, 2016; Newman et al., 2015; Roberts et al., 2014). In general, a defined pattern of problem behaviors, including hyperactivity, inattention, autistic features, and aggression, along with comorbid anxiety, has emerged for this population, and this pattern is estimated to occur in up to 86% of males with FXS (Low Kapalu & Garstein, 2016).

These behavioral and emotional difficulties can negatively impact the health and safety of the individual involved and others (Hall et al., 2016; Minnes et al., 2015; Ouyang et al., 2014; Suzumura, 2015; Wheeler et al., 2016; Woodman et al., 2015) because they can produce negative feelings in parents and siblings and affect family economy and coexistence with a child with FXS. In fact, once behavioral and emotional problems were covaried, our results showed that families with FXS experienced a greater negative impact on feelings about parenting, finances, siblings, and the degree of difficulty of living with a child or adolescent with FXS. In general, our results are similar to those of other studies (Haessler et al., 2016; Johnston et al., 2003; Lewis et al., 2006; Raspa et al. 2016).

First, parents of children with FXS in our study showed more negative feelings about parenting than parents in the control group. Haessler et al. (2016) found that in a group of 64 patients with FXS, 39.1% of parents reported low/normal stress, 34.4% high, and 78.1% very high. In the study by Johnston et al. (2003), 25% of mothers of children with FXS felt stress due to isolation and lack of parental competence. Problems and concerns about parenting and family relationships are affected by the diagnostic status of the child, and are greater in the case of parents of children with FXS (Lewis et al., 2006; Raspa et al., 2016).

Second, parents also point out the impact that caring for a child with FXS has on their finances. Emerson et al. (2006), in a British national study (Department for Work and Pensions: Families & Children Study - FACS), found that mothers (n = 6,954) with at least one dependent child had a higher risk of low wellbeing (less happiness, self-esteem, and self-efficacy) due to greater economic difficulties. These additional burdens are also predictors of family distress (Minnes et al., 2015). Ouyang et al. (2014) compared family's financial and employment impact of having a child with FXS, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), or intellectual disabilities (ID), and found that higher percentages of caregivers of children with FXS reported a negative family financial (60%) and employment (40%) impact.

Bailey et al. (2012), in their study with 350 caregivers, found that most families reported that FXS had at least some financial impact on the family, and caregivers had to take an average of 19.4 hours off work each month to care for their child's needs. Regarding economic costs, the studies by Chevreul et al. (2015) and Chevreul et al. (2016) include all types of costs associated with FXS, including the least visible ones, such as informal costs and loss of job productivity. In addition, it is the first study to estimate both the cost incurred by FXS and the impact on HRQOL (health-related quality of life) for FXS patients and caregivers. It highlights the high cost of the disease from a social perspective, despite its low prevalence, and that its life expectancy is similar to that of the rest of the population. Some of the data collected indicate that lifetime costs of FXS patients range from €1,113,000 for males to €620,000 for females, and the annual cost per patient ranges from €8,208 to €25,800.

Third, our results also indicate that having a child with FXS has a negative influence on siblings. They often have to help more with housework, they are ashamed of their sibling's behavior, or they invite their friends to their home less often. O'Neill and Murray (2016) found that siblings observed incoherent and negative parenting styles in their parents.

Fourth, in our study, parents point out that it is very difficult to live with a child with FXS. According to Rodas et al. (2016), there are differences between parenting practices of parents of children with and without ID. Children with disabilities have an increased risk of developing other (internalizing) psychiatric disorders and of receiving negative parental education associated with these problems, which can create a vicious cycle.

Fifth, in our study, however, there were no significant differences between groups in their social life. Some studies (Muller et al., 2018; Mulroy et al., 2008) reported restrictions on family activities due to a child's disability. However, although no significant differences were found between groups in social life, group means are quite different: 9.87 for FXS and 1.33 for the comparison group. The reason for this may be that the standard deviation is quite large in the FXS group (SD = 7.95).

Sixth, in our study, there are no significant differences related to positive feelings (see Table 3), and this result may be due to high selfesteem in the group of parents with children with FXS. As Cantwell et al. (2015) noted, the higher the parents' self-esteem, the greater their resilience and psychological health, because self-esteem buffers the impact of stress on psychological wellbeing. These results are also supported by Wheeler et al. (2008), who found that perceived quality of life of mothers of children with FXS was not different from that of the general population. Instead of being overwhelmed by their child's disability, they felt full of energy and support. The hope factor appears in this study as a predictor of quality of life. Parents' hope factor, associated with adaptive coping strategies, is related to a warmer parenting style and a more cohesive and active family environment.

Finally, families with FXS displayed more family adaptability (mean = 38.98) and cohesion (mean = 43.28) than those in the comparison group. In this regard, Baker et al. (2012) also reported higher levels of cohesion in families of adolescents and adults with FXS than what had been previously reported for families of individuals with developmental disabilities. Johnston et al. (2003) indicated that greater family cohesion and support are related to less stress and and feelings of isolation in the study mothers, as well as greater confidence in their parenting skills.

It is important to note the limitations of our study. First, the majority of respondents were mothers (77.2% in the FXS group and 67.5% in the comparison group). Although this is common in research (Allison & Campbell, 2015; Ly & Goldberg, 2014; Ouyang et al., 2014; Usher et al., 2018), not all family members experience similar effects as a result of being a relative of a child with a disability (Hastings et al., 2005). Problems experienced by mothers may not reflect those of other family members. Second, our study is cross-sectional in nature, and so it would be useful to examine longitudinal data in FXS because previous studies suggest the possibility of a decline in the reported positive impact over time (see Blancher et al., 2013; Raspa et al., 2017). Third, respondents were members of FXS family associations, and samples drawn from these associations may not be representative of the total population affected by FXS. Finally, findings may not be generalizable outside Spain.

In summary, our conclusions are:

Behavioral and emotional difficulties shown by children and adolescents with FXS in our study have a negative impact on parents and siblings, generating negative feelings and affecting family's economy and coexistence with the child with FXS.

Knowing the impact of having a child with FXS on the family allows us to identify factors susceptible to intervention that can contribute to improving the welfare of the person with FXS and his/her family. This information can help to design appropriate management strategies and services that meet the needs of affected families, thus reducing vulnerability and increasing resilience in the long term.

It is important that this intervention be carried out as early as possible in order to improve the adaptive behavior of these children regarding issues specific to the syndrome, such as emotional regulation, social anxiety, or behavior problems. In fact, the literature emphasizes that behavior management is one of the aspects that most affects mental health, depression, stress, and anxiety in the parents of these children. In this regard, we know that parental support programs focusing on behavior management are the key to moderating the psychological impact. These programs not only improve skills of children affected by FXS – a definite asset for independence in adulthood – but also the adaptability and mental health of their parents, who are no longer isolated thanks to these support groups.

Likewise, it is essential to promote family group cohesion because this is another variable that has a buffering effect on the negative psychological impact. Thus, it is necessary to ensure that fathers cease to be only "a shadow" of mothers. Encouraging fathers' participation can lead to greater family competence, reduced parental stress, and less physical and emotional burden for mothers, as well as greater adaptive skills for children.

Support for families of children with FXS should adopt a familycentered approach that recognizes that parents are affected by the nature of their child's disability and that the psychological wellbeing of one of the parents is linked to the psychological well-being of the child. Therefore, the best intervention recognizes the importance of interconnections among family members in promoting positive wellbeing within the family and fostering a strong family system.

Another variable to consider is family's socioeconomic position because this factor can moderate the relationship between the child's disability and stress. It is advisable to identify family subgroups that are most likely to experience stress for this reason.

Finally, supporting parents in the process of regulating their emotions is crucial because the more effective they are in focusing on positive aspects of their experiences with their children, the more successful they can be in defending them and experiencing better family quality of life. Intervention programs must promote parents' self-esteem in order to improve their psychological health and resilience, thus buffering the impact of stress, in addition to increasing hope and positive expectations, which, in turn, foster a warmer and more protective parenting style that is cohesive and active in the family group.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors are especially thankful to all the family members who participated in this study, and to Feli Peralta, a tireless researcher in the field of disabilities, for her great generosity.

References

- Allison, M., & Campbell, M. (2015). Mothers' perceptions of the quality of childhood sibling relationships affected by disability. *The Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist*, 32(01), 56-70. https:// doi.org/10.1017//edp.2015.8
- Angriman, M., Caravale, B., Novelli, L., Ferri, R., & Bruni, O. (2015). Sleep in children with neurodevelopmental disabilities. *Neuropediatrics*, 46(3), 199-210.
- Bailey D. B., Raspa, M., Bishop, E., Mitra D., Martin, S., Wheeler, A., & Sacco, P. (2012). Health and economic consequences of fragile X syndrome for caregivers. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 33(9), 705-12. https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e318272dcbc
- Baker, J. K., Seltzer, M. M., & Greenberg, J. S. (2012). Behaviour problems, maternal internalising symptoms and family relations in families of adolescents and adults with fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 56 (10), 984-995. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2012.01580.x
- Berglund, E., Lytsy, P., & Westerling, R. (2015). Health and wellbeing in informal caregivers and non-caregivers: a comparative cross-sectional study of the Swedish general population. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 13(109), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-015-0309-2
- Blancher, J., Begum, G. F., Marcoulides, A., & Baker, B. (2013). Longitudinal perspective of child positive impact on families: relationship of disability and culture. *American Journal on Intellectual Developmental Disabilities*, 118, 141-155. https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-118.2.141

- Burke, M. & Heller, T. (2016). Individual, parent and social-environmental correlates of caregiving experiences among parents of adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(5), 401–411. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12271
- Cantwell, J., Muldoon, O., & Gallagher, S. (2015). The influence of selfesteem and social support on the relationship between stigma and depressive symptomology in parents caring for children with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 59(10), 948-957. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12205
- Chan, W., Smith, L. E., Greenberg, J. S, Hong, J., & Mailick, M. R. (2017). Executive functioning mediates the effect of behavioral problems on depression in mothers of children with developmental disabilities. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 122(1), 11-24. https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-122.1.11 Chevreul, K., Brigham, K. B., Brunn, M., Des Portes, V., & BURQOL-RD
- Chevreul, K., Brigham, K. B., Brunn, M., Des Portes, V., & BURQOL-RD Research Netwrok (2015). Fragile X syndrome: Economic burden and health-related quality of life of patiens and caregivers in France. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 54(12), 1108-1120. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jir.12215
- Chevreul, K., Gandré, C., Brigham, K. B., López-Bastida, J., Linertová, R., Oliva-Moreno, J., & Iskrov, G. (2016). Social/economic costs and healthrelated quality of life in patients with fragile X syndrome in Europe. *The European Journal of Health Economics*, 17(1), 43-52. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10198-016-0784-3
- Chromik, L. C., Quintin, E. M., Lepage, J. F., Hustyi, K. M., Lightbody, A. A., & Reiss, A. L. (2019). The influence of hyperactivity, impulsivity, and attention problems on social functioning in adolescents and young adults with fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Attention Disorders, 23, 2,* 181-188. https://doi/org/10.1177/1087054715571739
- Cordeiro, I., Ballinger, E., Hagerman, R., & Hessi, D. (2011). Clinical assessment of DSM-IV anxiety disorder in fragile X syndrome: Prevalence and characterization. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 3(1), 57-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11689-010-9067-y
- Donenberg, G., & Baker, B. L. (1993). The impact of young children with externalizing behaviors on their families. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 21(2), 179-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00911315
- Emerson, E. (2005). Use of Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire to assess the mental health needs of children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 30(1), 14-23. https://doi.org/10.1080/13668250500033169
 Frolli, A., Piscopo, S., & Conson, M. (2015). Developmental changes in
- Frolli, A., Piscopo, S., & Conson, M. (2015). Developmental changes in cognitive and behavioural functioning of adolescents with fragile-X syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 59(7), 613-621. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12165
- Gardiner, E., Miller, A. R., & Lach, L. M. (2018). Family impact of childhood neurodevelopmental disability: Considering adaptive and maladaptive behaviour. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 62*(10), 821-921, https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12547
- Goodman, R. (2001). Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 40(11), 1337-1345. https://doi. org/10.1097/00004583-200111000-00015
- Grein, K. A., & Glidden, L. M. (2015). Predicting well-being longitudinally for mothers rearing offpring with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 59(7), 622-637. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12166
- Haessler, F., Gaese, F., Huss, M., Kretschmar, C., Brinkman, M., Peters, H., Elstner, S., Colla, M., & Pittrow, D. (2016). Characterization, treatment patterns, and patient-related outcomes of patients with Fragile X syndrome in Germany: Final results of the observational EXPLAIN-FXS study. *BioMed Central Psychiatry 16*(1), 318. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12888-016-1020-5
- Hall, S. S., Barnett, R. P., & Hustyi, K. M. (2016). Problem behaviour in adolescent boys with fragile X syndrome: Relative prevalence, frequency and severity. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(12), 1189-1199. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12341
- Hare, É., Lozano, R., Partington, L., & Hagerman, R. (2016). Fragile X Syndrome. En L. Rubin, J. Merrick, D. E. Grydanus, & D. Patel (Eds.), Health care for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities across the lifespan (pp.751-765). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18096-0_64
- Hartley, S. L., Seltzer, M. M., Head, L., & Abbeduto, L. (2012). Psychological well-being in fathers of adolescents and young adults with Down syndrome, fragile X syndrome, and autism. *Family Relations*, 61(2), 327-342. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2011.00693.x
- Hastings, R. P., Beck, A., & Hill, C. (2005). Positive contributions made by children with an intellectual disability in the family. *Journal of Intellectual Disability*, 9, 155-165. https://doi. org/10.1177/1744629505053930
- Hayes S. A., & Watson S. L. (2013). The impact of parenting stress: a metaanalysis of studies comparing the experience of parenting stress in parents of children with and without autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43, 629-642. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1604-y
- Holsen, L. M., Dalton, K. M., Johnstone, T., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Prefrontal social cognition network dysfunction underlying face encoding and

social anxiety in fragile X syndrome. *Neuroimage*, *43*(3), 592-604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.08.009

- Hunter, J., Rivero-Arias, O., Angelov, A., Kim, E., Fotheringham, I., & Leal, J. (2014). Epidemiology of fragile X syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 164(7), 1648-1658. https://doi. org/10.1002/ajmg.a.36511
- International Labour Organization (ILO, 2012). International standard classification of occupations. ISCO-08. International Labour Office. Geneva.
- International Test Commission. (2017). *The ITC guidelines for translating and adapting tests* (2nd edition). www.InTestCom.org
- Iriarte, C., Lizasoáin, O., & Peralta, F. (2015). Relaciones intergeneracionales en las fratrías donde hay un hermano con discapacidad intelectual [Intergenerational relationships in the fratries where there is a brother with intellectual disability]. En S. Rivas (Coord). *Generaciones conectadas* [Connected Generations] (pp. 149-169). Pirámide Psicología.
- [Connected Generations] (p. 149-169). Pirámide Psicología.
 Jess, M., Totsika, V., & Hastings, R. R. (2018). Maternal stress and the functions of positivity in mothers of children with intellectual disability. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 27*, 3753-3763. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-018-1186-1
- Johnston, C., Hessl, D., Blasey, C., Eliez, S., Erba, H., Dyer-Friedman, J., & Reiss, A. L. (2003). Factors associated with parenting stress in mothers of children with fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 24(4), 267-275. https://doi.org/0196-206X/00/2404-0267
- Kerr, C., Breheny, K., Lloyd, A., Brazier, J., Bailey Jr, D. B., Berry-Kravis, E., & Petrillo, J. (2015). Developing a utility index for the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (ABC-C) for fragile X syndrome. *Quality of Life Research*, 24(2), 305-314. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11136-014-0759-8
- Klusek, J., Martin, G. E., & Losh, M. (2014). Consistency between research and clinical diagnoses of autism among boys and girls with fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 58(10), 940-952. https://doi. org/10.1111/jir.12121
- Lewis, P., Abbeduto, L., Murphy, M., Richmond, E., Giles, N., Bruno, L., & Schroeder, S. (2006). Cognitive, language and social-cognitive skills of individuals with fragile X syndrome with and without autism. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 50(7), 532-545. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2006.00803.x
- Low Kapalu, C. M. & Gartstein, M. A. (2016). Boys with fragile X syndrome: Investigating temperament in early childhood. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, *60*(9),891-900. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12304
 Ly, A. R. & Goldberg, W. A. (2014). New measure for fathers of children with
- Ly, A. R. & Goldberg, W. A. (2014). New measure for fathers of children with developmental challenges. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 58(5), 471-484. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12044
- Martínez-Pampliega, A., Iraurgi, I., Galíndez, E., & Sanz, M. (2006). Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scale (FACES): desarrollo de una versión de 20 ítems en español [Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scale – FACES: Development of a 20-item version in Spanish]. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 6(2), 317-338. https://www. redalyc.org/pdf/337/33760207.pdf
- McHale, S. M., Updegraff, K. A., & Feinberg, M. E. (2016). Siblings of youth with autism spectrum disorders: Theoretical perspectives on sibling relationships and individual adjustment. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(2), 589-602. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-015-2611-6
- Migerode, F., Maes, B., Buysse, A., & Brondeel, R. (2012). Quality of life in adolescents with a disability and their parents: The mediating role of social support and resilience. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 24(5), 487-503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-012-9285-1
- Minnes, P., Perry, A., & Weiss, J. A. (2015). Predictors of distress and well-being in parents of young children with developmental delays and disabilities: Importance of parent perceptions. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 59(6), 551-560. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12160
- Miodrag, N., Burke, M., Tanner-Smith, E., & Hodapp, R. M. (2015). Adverse health in parents of children with disabilities and chronic health conditions: A meta-analysis using the Parenting Stress Index's health sub-domain. *Journal* of Intellectual Disability Research, 59(3), 257-271. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jir.12135
- Moskowitz, L. J., & Jones, E. A. (2015). Uncovering the evidence for behavioral interventions with individuals with fragile X syndrome: A systematic review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 38(0), 223-241. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.12.011
- Muller, K., Brady, N., Warren, S., & Fleming, K. (2018). Mothers' perspectives on challenging behaviours in their children with fragile X syndrome. *Journal* of Intellectual & Development Disability. Online head of print. https://doi. org/10.3109/136 68250.2018.1496379
- Mulroy, S., Robertson, L., Aiberti, K., Leonard, H., & Bower, C. (2008). The impact of having a sibling with an intellectual disability: Parental perspectives in two disorders. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 52(3), 216-229. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.01005.x
- Newman I., Leader, G., Chen, J. L., & Mannion, A. (2015). An analysis of challenging behavior, comorbid psychopathology, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in fragile X syndrome. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 38*, 7-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.11.003
- Norling, D., & Broger, M. (2013). Parents of children with and without intellectual disability: Couple relationship and individual well-being. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 57 (6), 552-566. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2012.01564.x
- O'Neill, L. P., & Murray, L. E. (2016). Perceived parenting styles fail to mediate between anxiety and attachment styles in adult siblings of individuals

with developmental disabilities. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 46(9), 3144-3154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-2859-5 Olson, D. H., Portner, J., & Lavee, Y. (1985). Manual de la Escala de Cohesión

ARTICLE IN PRESS

- y Adaptabilidad Familiar [FACES III Manual]. Life Innovation. Ortuño-Sierra, J., Aritio-Solana, R., & Fonseca-Pedrero, E. (2017). Mental health difficulties in children and adolescents: The study of the SDQ in the Spanish National Health Survey 2011-2012. Psychiatry Research 259, 236-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.psychres.2017.10.025
- 259, 236-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.10.025 Ouyang, L., Grosse, S. D., Riley, C., Bolen, J., Bishop, Raspa, M. E., & Bailey Jr, M. (2014). A comparison of family financial and employment impacts of fragile X syndrome, autism spectrum disorders, and intellectual disability. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 35*, 1518-1527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.04.009
- Patton, K. A., Ware, R., McPherson, L., Emerson, E., & Lennox, N. (2018) Parent-related stress of male and female carers of adolescents with intellectual disabilities and carers of children within the general population: A cross-sectional comparison. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 31(1), 51-61. https://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12292
- Raspa, M., Sacco, P., Candrilli, S. D., Bishop, E., & Petrillo, J. (2016). Validity of a condition specific outcome measure for fragile X syndrome: Aberrant Behaviour Checklist-utility index. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 60(PART 9), 844–855. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12264
- Research, 60(PART 9), 844–855. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12264
 Raspa, M., Wheeler, A. C., & Riley, C. (2017). Public health literature review of fragile X syndrome. *Pediatrics, 139*(3), 153-171. https://doi.org/:10.1542/peds.2016-1159C
- Richardson, J. T. E. (2011). Eta squared and partial eta squared as measurements of effect size in educational research. *Educational Research Review*, 6, 135-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2010.12.001
- Roberts, J. E., Tonnsen, B. L., Robinson, M., McQuillin, S. D., & Hatton, D. D. (2014). Temperament factor structure in fragile X syndrome: The Children's Behavior Questionnaire. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 35, 563-571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2013.11.024
 Rodas, N. V. Zeedyk, S. M., & Baker, B. L. (2016). Unsupportive parenting
- Rodas, N. V. Zeedyk, S. M., & Baker, B. L. (2016). Unsupportive parenting and internalising behaviour problems in children with or without intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(12), 1200-1211. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12332
 Rossetti, Z. & Hall, S. (2015). Adult sibling relationships with brothers
- Rossetti, Z. & Hall, S. (2015). Adult sibling relationships with brothers and sisters with severe disabilities. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*,40(2), 120-137. https://doi. org/10.1177/1540796915592829
- Schlebusch, L., Samuels, A. E., & Dada, S. (2016). South African families raising children with autism spectrum disorders: Relationship between family routines, cognitive appraisal and family quality of life. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(5), 412-423. https://doi. org/10.1111/jir.12292
- Summers, J.A., Poston, D.J., Turnbull, A.P., Marquis, J., Hoffman, L., Mannan, H., & Wang, M. (2005). Conceptualizing and measuring family quality of life. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 49(10), 777-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2005.00751.x Suzumura, S. (2015). Quality of life in mothers of preschoolers with
- Suzumura, S. (2015). Quality of life in mothers of preschoolers with high-functioning pervasive developmental disorders. *Pediatrics International*, 57(1), 149-154. https://doi.org/10.1111/ped.12560
- Symons, F.J., Byiers, B.J., Raspa, M., Bishop, E., & Bailey, D.B. (2010). Selfinjurious behavior and fragile X syndrome: Findings from the national fragile X survey. American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 115(6), 473-481. https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-115.6.473
- Thurman, A. J., McDuffie, A., Hagerman, R., & Abbeduto, L. (2014). Psychiatric symptoms in boys with fragile X syndrome: A comparison with nonsyndromic autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 35, 1072-1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.01.032
- Usher L.V., DaWalt L. S., Greenberg J. S., & Mailick M. R. (2018). Unaffected siblings of adolescents and adults with fragile X syndrome: Effects on maternal well-being. *Journal of Family Psychology, Sep13*. Online head of print. https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000458
- Wheeler, A. C., Raspa, M., Bann, C., Bishop, E., Hessl, D., & Bailey, D. B. (2014). Anxiety, attention problems, hyperactivity, and aberrant behavior checklist in fragile X syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics:* A. 164A(1), 141-155. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.36232
- Wheeler, A. C., Raspa, M., Bishop, E., & Bailey Jr., D. B. (2016) Aggression in fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(2), 113-125. https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12238
- Wheeler, A. C., Skinner, D. G., & Bailey, D. B. (2008). Perceived quality of life in mothers of children with fragile X syndrome. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 113(3), 159-177. https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017 (2008)113[159: PQOLIM] 2.0.CO; 2
- Woodman, A. C. Mawdsley, H. P., & Hauser-Cram, P. (2015). Parenting stress and child behavior problems within families of children with developmental disabilities: Transactional relations across 15 years. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 36, 264-276. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.10.011
- World Medical Association. (2013). World medical association declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA, 310, 2191-2194. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2013.281053