




Psicología Educativa

<https://journals.copmadrid.org/psed>



The Mediating Effect of University Teaching Staff's Psychological Well-being between Emotional Intelligence and Burnout

María J. Suárez Martel^a and Josefa D. Martín Santana^b

^aAgencia Canaria de Calidad Universitaria y Evaluación Educativa, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain ^bUniversidad de las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 January 2020
Accepted 18 June 2021
Available online 23 April 2021

Keywords:

Emotional intelligence
Psychological well-being
Burnout
Mediating effect
University teachers

Palabras clave:

Inteligencia emocional
Bienestar psicológico
Burnout
Efecto mediador
Profesorado universitario

ABSTRACT

The work carried out by university teachers has evolved in response to the European Higher Education Area (EHEA), requiring that teachers update their professional skills. This requirement and a lack of personal resources to manage it may cause burnout. However, certain personality traits protect teachers from burnout, e.g., emotional intelligence (EI) or psychological well-being (PWB). A sample of university teachers was assembled and the mediating effect of PWB between EI and burnout was analysed in both of its aspects (negative and professional efficacy). The results confirmed the sequential relationship between the dimensions of EI. Emotional regulation has no influence on any of the dimensions of burnout, but it does have an effect on PWB. Additionally, PWB has a direct, negative effect on the negative dimension of burnout and a positive effect on professional efficacy. PWB has a total mediating effect between emotional regulation and the different dimensions of burnout.

El efecto mediador del bienestar psicológico y su relación con la inteligencia emocional y el agotamiento emocional en el profesorado universitario

RESUMEN

Las tareas realizadas por el profesorado universitaria han evolucionado debido al Espacio Europeo de Educación Superior (EEES), demandando al profesorado la actualización de sus competencias profesionales. Estas demandas y la falta de recursos personales para gestionarlas pueden ocasionar *burnout*. Sin embargo, existen rasgos de la personalidad que protegen al profesorado del *burnout* como son la inteligencia emocional (IE) o el bienestar psicológico (BP). En una muestra de docentes universitarios se analiza el efecto mediador del BP en la relación entre la IE y el *burnout* en su doble vertiente (negativa y eficacia profesional). Se confirma la relación secuencial entre las dimensiones de la IE. La regulación emocional no influye en ninguna de las dimensiones del *burnout*, pero sí en el BP. El BP influye directa y negativamente en el *burnout* negativo, pero positivamente en la eficacia profesional. El BP ejerce un efecto de mediación total sobre la relación existente entre la regulación emocional y las dimensiones del *burnout*.

In recent years, the work done by the Teaching and Research Staff (TRS) has evolved in response to the new teaching guidelines of the European Higher Education Area (EHEA). These guidelines require that teaching staff continuously update their professional skills and gain new knowledge. These demands and a lack of personal resources to address them may cause tension, stress, or anxiety. If this situation continues over an extended period it may provoke the recognised syndrome of burnout at work (Rabasa et al., 2016).

According to Maslach and Jackson (1986), burnout manifests itself through three symptoms: 1) emotional exhaustion or tiredness (situation in which workers perceive that they can no longer give more of themselves emotionally); 2) depersonalisation or a cynical attitude

towards others (development of negative attitudes and feelings towards the people receiving the work); and 3) reduced personal accomplishment, characterised by feelings of being incompetent and a failure as a professional (tendency of workers to value themselves negatively, this assessment affecting their ability to work).

Maslach and Jackson (1986) studied burnout from a psychosocial perspective, considering it a “process” developed through the interaction between workplace characteristics and personal traits. It starts with exhaustion, then with depersonalization or cynicism, and finally with reduced personal accomplishment. Notably, Maslach et al. (1996) replaced this third dimension with professional efficacy, giving the model two negative dimensions and a positive one. Thus,

Cite this article as: Suárez Martel, M.J., & Martín Santana, J.D. (2021). The mediating effect of university teaching staff's psychological well-being between emotional intelligence and burnout. *Psicología Educativa*. Ahead of print. <https://doi.org/10.5093/psed2021a12>

Correspondence: msuamars@gobiernodecanarias.org (M. J. Suárez Martel).

ISSN: 1135-755X/© 2021 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

burned-out people have high scores in the negative dimensions (exhaustion and cynicism) and low scores in the positive dimension (professional efficacy) (Bresó et al., 2007).

As the reader can observe, these symptoms have a very significant emotional component. This is why not all teachers handle demands in the same way. There are some personality traits that protect university teachers from burnout (Mérida-López & Extremera, 2017; Jennings & Greenberg, 2009).

One of those personality traits that protect university teaching staff from burnout is emotional intelligence (EI) (Andrés, 2012; Brackett et al., 2006; Ilaja & Reyes, 2016; Mérida-López & Extremera, 2017).

Nowadays, there are different theoretical conceptualisations about this construct. However, one of the most prominent is the one referred to the TMMS-24 instrument (Aranguren & Irrazabal, 2015; Fernández-Berrocal et al., 2004; González, 2011), where EI is considered as the meta-knowledge that people have their abilities to perceive, assimilate, understand, and manage their own emotions and others', distinguishing three elements: 1) emotional perception: ability to feel and pay attention to feelings appropriately; 2) emotional understanding: identification and adequate understanding of emotional states; and 3) emotional regulation: ability to regulate negative emotional states and maintain positive ones. Of these dimensions described, the most basic is the one related to emotional perception that once developed allows the person to develop emotional understanding that will allow them to reach the highest level, emotional regulation (Mestre-Navas & Fernández-Berrocal, 2015).

Emotionally intelligent people pay attention to emotions derived from their surroundings, understand the possible causes and consequences of such emotions, and finally develop strategies to control or handle feelings (Mayer & Salovey, 1995). Based on the above findings, EI can be thought of an important personal resource to perceive, accept, and regulate negative emotions (exhaustion and cynicism) and as a protection factor against burnout (professional efficacy).

This construct has highlighted how important the role of understanding and controlling emotions can be for psychological well-being (PWB), since the appearance of the first theory on EI changed the study of emotions (Salovey & Mayer, 1990). Researchers went from thinking that emotions were a distraction for cognitive processes to understanding them as a vital phenomenon that provides useful information on how to solve everyday problems, including problems derived from work demands, stirring up interest in studying EI in relation to PWB. Ryff (1989) understands PWB as the result of an evaluation made by an individual about how he or she has lived, addressing the challenges faced while trying to function in a positive way (Ryff & Keyes, 1995), and overcoming the demands of his or her work environment. According to Aranguren and Irrazabal (2015), the PWB model by Ryff is made up of the following dimensions: 1) self-acceptance: people try to feel good about themselves even when they are aware of their own limitations; 2) positive relationships: individuals need to establish social relationships and have friends they can trust; 3) autonomy: to be able to sustain their own individuality in different social contexts; autonomy makes it possible to resist social pressure to a greater extent and better self-regulate behaviour; 4) environment mastery: it is the personal skills to choose or create favourable environments to satisfy an individual's own desires and needs; 5) personal growth: consists of the effort to develop an individual's own competences; and 6) purpose in life: people's need to set goals, defining a series of objectives that allow their life to be endowed with a certain sense.

In the current welfare society, the interest in studying this relationship may be owed to evidence suggesting that EI can help people adapt to important life contexts and strengthen PWB. That is, EI could be understood as a useful tool for psychological adjustment and optimal interpersonal relationships in people's lives (Augusto-

Landa et al., 2012; Barraca, 2010; de los Remedios et al., 2017; Muñoz, 2016). As García et al. (2018) contend, job satisfaction (professional efficacy) contributes positively to workers' PWB and can reduce levels of burnout (exhaustion and cynicism).

There is little research around the study of the three constructs indicated together, and none focused on university teachers. However, as has been stated, there is evidence relating EI levels to PWB (Aranguren & Irrazabal, 2015; Mayer et al., 2000; Rey & Extremera, 2011) and also to burnout (Arrogante, 2014; Ilaja & Reyes, 2016). Similarly, there are studies that relate PWB to burnout (Martínez-Ramón, 2015; Portilla & Alva, 2019). These studies conclude the following: teachers with high scores in the highest-level dimension of EI (emotional regulation) and PWB should show lower levels of exhaustion and cynicism, as well as higher levels of professional efficacy, that is why this study aims to:

- Validate the multidimensional structure of the instruments used.
- Analyse the levels of EI (differentiating between its dimensions, from lowest to highest level), PWB, and burnout (distinguishing between negative and positive dimensions).
- Determine the mediating effector between the constructs studied.

Therefore, this study is aimed at verifying the following hypotheses, summarised in Figure 1:

H1: The higher the level of emotional perception in teaching staff, the higher the level of emotional understanding.

H2: The higher the level of emotional understanding, the higher the level of emotional regulation.

H3a: The higher the level of emotional regulation, the lower the level of negative burnout, in terms of exhaustion and cynicism.

H3b: The higher the level of emotional regulation, the higher the level of professional efficacy.

H4: The higher the level of emotional regulation, the higher the level of PWB.

H5a: The higher the level of PWB, the lower the level of negative burnout, in terms of exhaustion and cynicism.

H5b: The higher the level of PWB, the higher the level of professional efficacy.




Figure 1. Hypothesis of the Proposed Model.

Hypotheses *H3a*, *H3B*, *H4*, and *H5a* encourage thinking within a mediation framework. Specifically, the relationship between

emotional regulation and negative burnout (*H3a*) and the relationship between emotional regulation and professional efficacy (*H3b*) might be mediated by PWB. Other conditions for these two mediation effects are that emotional regulation relates to PWB (*H4*), that PWB relates to negative burnout (*H5a*), and that PWB relates to professional efficacy (*H5b*). These assumptions of mediation will be investigated in hypothesis *H6a* and *H6b*, as follows:

H6a: The relationship between emotional regulation and negative burnout is mediated by PWB.

H6b: The relationship between emotional regulation and professional efficacy is mediated by PWB.

Method

Participants

The study was carried out with the TRS of the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria. Three hundred and four teachers participated in the study. The sociodemographic profile analysis reveals that the distribution is slightly skewed towards male teachers (168 men vs. 136 women). As for age, the range is between 29 and 72 years old with a higher representation of people who are 51 to 60 years old ($n = 118$), being the mean age 49.5 years old and the standard deviation 7.2. Concerning the categories of the teaching staff, there is a high percentage of full professors, and there is a large representation of staff from Social and Legal Sciences ($n = 89$), as well as Health Sciences ($n = 73$). Most teaching staff have 21 to 30 years of experience ($n = 137$). Finally, there is a deviation of almost 10 percentage points between the teaching staff who also hold management posts at the university (45.7%) and those who do not (56.3%).

Instruments

In this study we have used the measurement scales found in the literature which have been widely used to evaluate the constructs included in this model.

Emotional intelligence. To measure EI, we used the TMMS-24 scale by Salovey et al. (1995), in the Spanish version adapted by Fernández-Berrocal et al. (2004). The TMMS-24 scale is a reduced version of TMMS-48 adapted to Spanish which evaluates EI levels, with psychometric qualities that are very similar to those in the original scale. TMMS-24 preserves the three original components while also halving the number of items and keeping items with higher internal consistency: emotional perception (8 items), emotional understanding (8 items), and emotional regulation (8 items). The reduced version is based on a Likert format with five answer options. Table 1 shows some of the items that make up the instrument. TMMS-24 was published before the theoretical reformulation of the model by Mayer and Salovey (1995). For this reason, there is a lack of correspondence between its factors and the dimensions proposed in the new model. Despite this inconsistency, TMMS-24 is undeniably useful to evaluate reflexive processes of emotion. It is also the most widely used tool among the Spanish-speaking population, as well as being related to the model by Mayer and Salovey (1995), even if it does not comprise all of its dimensions (Extremera & Fernández-Berrocal, 2005).

Psychological well-being (PWB). To measure this dimension, we used the Scales of Psychological Well-Being (SPWB) by Van Dierendonck et al. (2008). The original version was made by Ryff (1989). It is aimed at measuring the dimensions described in the multifactor model by Ryff (1989) and Ryff and Keyes (1995). SPWB Spanish version are comprised of 29 items with 6-point Likert-type answers, which have been written both in affirmative and negative sentences. The items are grouped into six factors: self-acceptance (6 items), positive relationships (6 items), autonomy (8 items),

environment mastery (6 items), personal growth (7 items), and purpose in life (6 items). Table 1 shows some of the items that make up the instrument.

Burnout. To measure burnout, we used the Maslach Burnout Inventory – General Survey (MBI-GS) by Schaufeli and Leiter (1996), in the version adapted by Bresó et al. (2007). This is a generic measurement tool that is useful to evaluate burnout in all sorts of jobs, independently from the specific tasks involved, and with internal consistency that is higher than .70. MBI-GS assesses dimensions of exhaustion (5 items evaluating feeling of emotional exhaustion, tiredness, fatigue, and tension), cynicism (4 items representing an attitude of indifference, devaluation, and alienation with a person's job), and professional efficacy (6 items related to professional expectations, expressing beliefs about work capacity and an effective contribution to the organisation). Items are answered using a Likert-type scale with points ranging from 0 to 6 points. Table 1 shows some of the items that make up the instrument.

Procedure

This study is based on the quantitative approach by Díaz-Barriga and Luna (2014), employing a non-experimental methodological design through the use of a completely structured online survey (G-Suite) completed by university teaching staff. Simple information was provided, presenting the research and guaranteeing anonymity and data protection. The TRS was contacted twice; a questionnaire was sent via a mailing list to the staff's institutional e-mail addresses. The first contact was at the beginning of the university year (192 answers) and the second one was 5 months later, at the end of the university year (112 answers).

Data Analysis

Firstly, we describe the analysis of the validity of the different measurement scales used in this research to know its psychometric properties. Secondly, we test the different proposed hypotheses using structural equation modelling (SEM). SEM was applied using the variance-covariance matrix as input data and based on the maximum likelihood estimation method (MLM). Finally, we test the mediator effect of PWB in the relationship between emotional regulation and negative burnout. The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 22 and AMOS 22.

A confirmatory factor analysis was conducted to determine goodness of fit of each measurement scale (EI, PWB, and MBI). The purpose of this analysis was to test the hypothesis that all items on each scale were measuring a common construct. Because of this, a nonsignificant chi-square valuable is desirable, but since the chi-square statistic is dependent on sample size, a pattern of results across a number of goodness of fit tests was considered. As recommended in the literature (e.g., Aldás & Uriel, 2017; Hair et al., 1999), the following tests were included: comparative fit index (CFI), normed fit index (NFI), Tucker Lewis index (TLI), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). A model is considered to provide better fit to the data as more of the following criteria are met: chi-square is nonsignificant, CFI and NFI are higher than .95, TLI is higher than .90, and RMSEA is lower than .08. Anyway, values for both CFI and NFI higher than .90 are indicative of acceptable model fit.

In addition, construct validity, which refers to the extent to which a scale provides a reasonable assessment of the construct that it purports to measure, was evaluated. In this study, internal consistency, individual item reliability, composite reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity are evaluated.

Internal consistency was analysed through the Cronbach's alpha coefficient (Cronbach, 1951). The internal consistency of the scale is acceptable if $\alpha \geq .70$.

The individual reliability of each item on the scale is examined through factor loading with its construct. As a general rule, it is established that an item can be part of a scale when it presents a factor loading $\geq .70$, although this rule should not be so rigid, allowing items with lower reliability if their presence improves the validity of the construct (Hair et al., 2014).

The composite reliability of each scale allows to test, as the Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the internal consistency of the items of a construct, that is, its capacity to measure a concept together. The acceptable value is $\geq .70$.

The convergent validity of a scale implies that the set of items that form this construct represents the same underlying concept (Henseler et al., 2009), that is to say, all the items tend to measure the same reality and nothing more than that. The analysis of convergent validity is carried out from mean extracted variance (average variance extracted, AVE; Hair et al., 2011), stating that there is convergent validity in a construct when the AVE value is higher than .50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981), that is, more than 50% of the variance of the construct

is due to its indicators.

Finally, according to Fornell and Larcker (1981), a construct has discriminant validity if AVE is higher than square correlations between this construct and the others that form the model and indicates that one construct is different from another.

Results

Reliability and Validity Analysis of Measurement Scales

In this section, we show the results obtained in the analyses used for testing reliability and validity of the scales (EI, PWB, and MBI). Confirmatory factor analyses were used to determine the dimensional character of these scales, which showed that all the constructs are multidimensional. Table 1 shows final items of the scales and their dimensions.

Emotional intelligence. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis applied to the EI scale shed light on the existence of three

Table 1. Definitive Items of the Scales

Dimensions	Code	Items
Emotional intelligence		
Emotional perception	EP1	I usually pay a lot of attention to my feelings.
	EP2	I usually invest time in thinking about my emotions.
	EP3	I often think about my feelings.
	EP4	I pay a lot of attention to what I am feeling.
Emotional understanding	EU1	I have a clear idea of my feelings.
	EU2	I almost always know what I am feeling.
	EU3	I usually know how I feel about people.
	EU4	I often realise what I am feeling in different situations.
	EU5	I can always tell what I am feeling.
Emotional regulation	ER1	Although I am sad sometimes, I usually have an optimistic view of things.
	ER2	Even if I am feeling bad, I make an effort to think about nice things.
	ER3	I try to have positive thoughts, even when I feel bad.
Psychological well-being (PWB)		
Self-acceptance	PWB1	Generally speaking, I feel confident and positive about myself.
	PWB2	I like most aspects of my personality.
	PWB3	I am mostly proud of who I am and of the life I lead.
Positive relations	PWB4	I feel my friendships bring me a lot.
	PWB5	I know I can trust my friends, and in turn they know they can trust me.
Autonomy	PWB6	I am not afraid of stating my opinions, even when they are opposite to most people's opinions.
	PWB7	I feel confident of my opinions, even if they are contrary to general consensus.
Environment mastery	PWB8	I have been able to build a home and a lifestyle that I like.
	PWB9	If I were unhappy about where I am in life, I would take the most efficient measures to change it.
Personal growth	PWB10	In general, I feel that I keep learning things about myself over time.
	PWB11	I feel that I have developed a lot as a person over time.
	PWB12	For me, life has been a continuous process of study, change and growth.
	PWB13	I enjoy making plans for the future and working to bring them to fruition.
Purpose in life	PWB14	I am an active person and carry out the projects I established for myself.
	PWB15	I feel nice when I think of the things I have done in the past and the things I expect to do in the future.
	PWB16	My aims in life have been a source of satisfaction more than one of frustration for me.
	PWB17	I clearly know where my life is going and what my life aims are
Burnout		
Exhaustion	EX1	My job makes me feel emotionally exhausted.
	EX2	I feel burned out at the end of my working day.
	EX3	I feel tired when I get up in the morning and I have to face another day at my job.
	EX4	Working all day makes me feel stressed.
Cynicism	CY1	I have lost interest in my job since I started working in this post.
	CY2	I have lost enthusiasm for my job.
Professional efficacy	PE1	In my opinion, I am good at my job.
	PE2	I feel stimulated achieving goals at my job.
	PE3	I have accomplished many valuable things in this job.
	PE4	At work, I feel confident that I can complete tasks efficiently.

dimensions, which have been labelled as “emotional perception”, “emotional understanding”, and “emotional regulation”, as stated in the literature (see Table 2).

Although the results of this measurement model indicate statistical significance, $\chi^2(51) = 136.829, p = .000$, it should be noted that these statistics depend on the size of the sample, thereby the need to analyse other indicators of fit. In this regard, the results obtained show that the other indicators of global fit of the model are found to be within values recommended by the literature (CFI = .955, NFI = .930, TLI = .941, RMSEA = .075), so we can conclude that the specified model adequately reproduces the observed covariance matrix.

The model demonstrates an acceptable level of individual reliability, since the relationship between each item and its respective dimension is statistically significant, with standardized regression weights higher than .70 in all cases, and with *t*-statistic values, *t* being also significant.

Internal consistency was estimated by means of construct reliability, which must reach a minimum value of .70 according to recommendations set by Nunnally (1978). In that respect, the results enable us to confirm internal consistency in all cases since values exceed the minimum. Moreover, the convergent validity of the dimensions was estimated by calculating average variance extracted (AVE) proposed by Fornell and Larcker (1981). Since values were above the critical value of .50, we can accept the convergent validity of this construct. In addition, the Cronbach alpha statistic was used to analyse the internal consistency of measures.

Psychological well-being. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis applied to this scale show the existence of six dimensions as stated in the literature: self-acceptance, positive relations, autonomy, environment mastery, personal growth, and purpose in life (see Table 3). Since the results of this measurement model indicate statistical significance, $\chi^2(104) = 259.584, p = .000$, we analysed other indicators of fit too. In this regard, the results obtained show that the other indicators of global fit of the model are found to be within values recommended by the literature (CFI = .943, NFI = .909, TLI = .925, RMSEA = .070), so we can conclude that the specified model adequately reproduces the observed covariance matrix. The model demonstrates an acceptable level of individual reliability, since the relationship between each item and its respective dimension is statistically significant, with standardized regression weights higher than or very close to .70 in all cases, and with *t*-statistic values, *t* also being significant.

Also, the internal consistency was estimated by means of construct reliability. The results show this internal consistency in all cases, except for two dimensions – autonomy and environment mastery.

Moreover, the convergent validity was estimated by calculating AVE. The results indicate that all critical values were above .50, except for the “environment mastery” dimension. In addition, the Cronbach alpha statistic was used to analyse internal consistency of the measures. Results suggest that future research should include new items in PWB “autonomy” and “environment mastery” dimensions to improve internal consistency and convergent validity.

Burnout. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis applied to this scale show the existence of three dimensions as stated in the literature: exhaustion, cynicism, and professional efficacy (see Table 4). Since the results of this measurement model show statistical significance, $\chi^2(32) = 94.989, p = .000$, we have analysed other indicators of fit too. In this regard, the results obtained show that the other indicators of global fit of the model are found to be within the values recommended by the literature (CFI = .963, NFI = .945, TLI = .947, RMSEA = .081), so we can conclude that the specified model adequately reproduces the observed covariance matrix. The model demonstrates an acceptable level of individual reliability, since the relationship between each item and its respective dimension is statistically significant, with standardized regression weights higher than or very close to .70 in all cases, and with *t*-statistic values, *t* also being significant. Also, the results of construct reliability (CR) and convergent validity (AVE) indicate that all values exceed recommended values. In addition, the Cronbach alpha statistic confirmed the internal consistency of the scale.

Hypothesis Testing

Given the high number of dimensions in each construct, the specification issues of the structural model have led us to validate PWB latent constructs, negative burnout, and professional efficacy jointly. Thus, we needed a global construct of each one to test the proposed model. For this purpose, PWB indicators were calculated as weighted averages of scores given by respondents to the items that make up each dimension, weighted for regression weights for each of those in CFA, and variables were labelled with their own names. We have followed the same process with negative burnout, whose dimensions correspond to exhaustion and cynicism. In Table 5, we collected the results of this model on the basis of which we can deduce that (1) the model has a good fit (CFI = .971, NFI = .942, TLI = .962, RMSEA = .056), (2) values of construct reliability (CR) and convergent validity (AVE) exceed the recommended values and the Cronbach alpha statistic too, and (3) the model demonstrates an acceptable level of individual reliability, since the relationship between each item and

Table 2. Confirmatory Analysis Factor of Emotional Intelligence

Relationships	Individual reliability			Internal consistency		Convergent validity (AVE)
	Standardized estimators	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	
Goodness of fit: CMIN = 136.829, <i>p</i> = .000, CFI = .955, NFI = .930, RMSEA = .075						
EP1 ← Emotional perception	.763					
EP2 ← Emotional perception	.781	13.329	.000	.867	.868	.622
EP3 ← Emotional perception	.788	13.439	.000			
EP4 ← Emotional perception	.822	13.992	.000			
EU1 ← Emotional understanding	.714					
EU2 ← Emotional understanding	.874	13.990	.000	.872	.877	.589
EU3 ← Emotional understanding	.772	12.565	.000			
EU4 ← Emotional understanding	.733	11.959	.000			
EU5 ← Emotional understanding	.732	11.945	.000			
ER1 ← Emotional regulation	.759					
ER2 ← Emotional regulation	.899	14.890	.000	.867	.871	.693
ER3 ← Emotional regulation	.833	14.473	.000			

Table 3. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Psychological Well-being

Relationships	Individual reliability			Internal consistency		Convergent validity (AVE)
	Standardized estimators	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	
Goodness of fit: CMIN = 259.584, <i>p</i> = .000, CFI = .943, NFI = .909, RMSEA = .070						
PWB1 ← Self-acceptance	.836			.854	.853	.661
PWB2 ← Self-acceptance	.734	14.554	.000			
PWB3 ← Self-acceptance	.863	18.509	.000			
PWB4 ← Positive relations	.718			.761	.775	.634
PWB5 ← Positive relations	.868	9.476	.000			
PWB6 ← Autonomy	.643			.664	.627	.526
PWB7 ← Autonomy	.799	7.214	.000			
PWB8 ← Environment mastery	.623			.572	.573	.402
PWB9 ← Environment mastery	.645	10.170	.000			
PWB10 ← Personal growth	.634			.809	.821	.608
PWB11 ← Personal growth	.847	11.236	.000			
PWB12 ← Personal growth	.840	11.202	.000			
PWB13 ← Purpose in life	.655			.854	.857	.548
PWB14 ← Purpose in life	.788	11.948	.000			
PWB15 ← Purpose in life	.782	11.881	.000			
PWB16 ← Purpose in life	.682	10.589	.000			
PWB17 ← Purpose in life	.782	11.882	.000			

Table 4. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Burnout

Relationships	Individual reliability			Internal consistency		Convergent validity (AVE)
	Standardized estimators	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	
Goodness of fit: CMIN = 94.989, <i>p</i> = .000, CFI = .963, NFI = .945, RMSEA = .081						
EX1 ← Exhaustion	.887			.915	.915	.728
EX2 ← Exhaustion	.874	20.778	.000			
EX3 ← Exhaustion	.816	18.381	.000			
EX4 ← Exhaustion	.835	19.133	.000			
CY2 ← Cynism	.832			.870	.874	.777
CY2 ← Cynism	.928	13.685	.000			
PE1 ← Professional efficacy	.732			.810	.813	.522
PE2 ← Professional efficacy	.688	10.553	.000			
PE3 ← Professional efficacy	.670	10.302	.000			
PE4 ← Professional efficacy	.793	11.651	.000			

Table 5. Joint Confirmatory Factor Analysis of PWB, Negative Burnout, and Professional

Relationships	Individual reliability			Internal consistency		Convergent validity (AVE)
	Standardized Estimators	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	
Goodness of fit: CMIN = 98.779, <i>p</i> = .000, CFI = .971, NFI = .942, RMSEA = .056						
Self-acceptance ← PWB	.900			.852	.866	.532
Positive relationships ← PWB	.536	10.126	.000			
Autonomy ← PWB	.506	9.417	.000			
Environment mastery ← PWB	.781	17.587	.000			
Personal growth ← PWB	.627	12.474	.000			
Purpose in life ← PWB	.915	23.812	.000			
Exhaustion ← Negative burnout	.609			.680	.699	.544
Cynicism ← Negative burnout	.847	6.255	.000			
PE1 ← Process efficacy	.748			.810	.814	.522
PE2 ← Process efficacy	.769	12.227	.000			
PE3 ← Process efficacy	.695	11.158	.000			
PE4 ← Process efficacy	.675	10.845	.000			

Table 6. Evaluation of the Discriminant Validity

Constructs	Mean (<i>SD</i>)	1	2	3
PWB (1)	4.91(0.71)	.729	-	-
Negative burnout (2)	1.82 (1.37)	-.409	.738	-
Professional efficacy (3)	4.97 (0.85)	.624	-.269	.722

Note. The diagonal values correspond to the square root of its average variance extracted (AVE) and the other values correspond to the correlations between the three constructs.




Figure 2. Results of the Proposed Model.

$***p \leq 0.01$

its respective dimension is statistically significant, with standardized regression weights higher than or very close to .70 in all cases, except in four of the cases. For this, in the structural model it is possible to use these new variables to simplify and specify the model.

We tested for discriminant validity of the three latent constructs included in this model (PWB, negative burnout, and professional efficacy). In Table 6 it can be seen that square roots of all AVE are greater than elements not on the diagonal. Therefore, it can be affirmed that the three latent constructs also possess discriminant validity.

To validate the theoretical model proposed, SEM were used, employing the maximum likelihood method. The results indicated that this model was satisfactory, $\chi^2(86) = 168.874$, $p = .000$, $CFI = .955$, $RMSEA = .056$, as the CFI value exceeds .95 and the RMSEA value does not exceed the recommended maximum of .08. As shown in Figure 2, these results demonstrate that:

- The higher the university teacher's level of emotional perception, the higher the level of emotional understanding ($\beta = .320$, $p = .000$). At the same time, the higher the level of emotional understanding, the higher the level of emotional regulation ($\beta = .345$, $p = .000$). Therefore, hypotheses $H1$ and $H2$ are accepted.

- Emotional regulation is not associated with negative burnout or professional efficacy ($\beta = .002$, $p = .981$ and $\beta = -.055$, $p = .367$, respectively). These results do not support hypotheses $H3a$ and $H3b$.

- The higher the level of emotional regulation, the higher the level of PWB ($\beta = .554$, $p = .000$). This result supports hypothesis $H4$.

- Finally, the higher the PWB, the lower the level of negative burnout and the higher the level of professional efficacy ($\beta = -.537$, $p = .000$ and $\beta = .752$, $p = .000$). Therefore, hypotheses $H5a$ and $H5b$ are accepted.

- The percentages of variance explained by the model of PWB, professional efficacy, and negative burnout are 30.7%, 52.2%, and 28.8% respectively.

Mediation Effect Testing

In order to demonstrate the existence of a partial mediation model explaining an indirect additional effect of emotional regulation on negative burnout and professional efficacy through PWB, the procedure established by Mathieu and Taylor (2006) was followed. We fit only direct (no mediation) model to verify that our model is indeed a full mediation model. The direct model estimates a direct link between emotional regulation and professional efficacy, with no path leading to or stemming from PWB (although PWB remains as one latent variable in the model). The results of this model demonstrate that emotional regulation influences negative burnout and professional efficacy ($\beta = -.306$, $p = .000$ and $\beta = .362$, $p = .000$), although this model exhibited deficient fit indices, $\chi^2(89) = 435.197$, $p = .000$, $CFI = .811$, $RMSEA = .113$. Therefore, these results and those obtained in the previous section are consistent

with the assumption of a full mediational effect of PWB on the relationship between emotional regulation and negative burnout and professional efficacy, because including PWB in the model eliminates the direct relationship between emotional regulation and negative burnout and professional efficacy. Therefore, these results empirically support *H6a* and *H6b*.

Discussion

Concerning the first of the aims of this study, this paper verifies the dimensional structure of the three constructs studied, supporting the three-dimensional nature of EI as explained in the literature: emotional perception, emotional understanding, and emotional regulation (Fernández-Berrocal et al., 2004; González et al., 2011). It also corroborates the multidimensional structure of PWB as indicated in the literature: self-acceptance, positive relationships, autonomy, environment mastery, personal growth, and purpose in life (Aranguren & Irrazabal, 2015; Ryff, 1989; Ryff & Keyes, 1995). Finally, burnout, in its double aspect, has also been corroborated once given its three-dimensional structure: exhaustion, cynicism, and professional efficacy (Cruz et al., 2015; Maslach et al., 1996).

EI three dimensions are sequentially correlated, which matches what has been explained in the literature (Mayer & Salovey, 1995; Mestre-Navas & Fernández-Berrocal, 2015; Salovey & Mayer, 1990).

Emotional regulation has no effect on negative burnout or professional efficacy contrary to what is stated by Mérida-López and Extremera (2017) or Jennings and Greenberg (2009) that emotional regulation is a component which protects university teachers from burnout. Also, these results do not support findings by Augusto-Landa et al. (2012), who concluded that EI predicts occupational burnout and proposed a model that explains 80% of burnout variability.

The results confirm that emotional regulation influences PWB (Augusto-Landa et al., 2012; de los Remedios et al., 2017; Muñoz, 2016). It should be noted that these results coincide with results presented by Muñoz (2016) who states that EI is a personal resource that favours and predicts teachers' PWB. Also, PWB has a direct, negative influence on negative burnout and a positive effect on professional efficacy. These results match results collected in the study by Barraca (2010), who found a negative correlation between PWB and teaching staff's discomfort, given that being a university teacher is a heavily stressful occupation. García et al. (2018) also supports this idea, but in this case the study was carried out with healthcare workers.

This study also confirmed the mediating role of PWB in the relationship between emotional regulation and negative burnout (exhaustion and cynicism) and professional efficacy. This result is consistent with previous studies that have shown that PWB has a positive effect as a mediating variable between individual dimensions and psychological adjustment indicators (Arrogante, 2014; Rey & Extremera, 2011). By confirming the existence of a total mediation, this study makes an innovative, valuable contribution, shedding light on the relationship between the variables analysed. To sum up, PWB is a mediator variable in a four-step model, with emotional regulation being the initial variable, and negative burnout and professional efficacy being the result variables. Therefore, the teaching staff with higher levels of emotional regulation and PWB is less likely to suffer from negative burnout and more likely to achieve greater professional efficacy.

This study lets us draw significant practical implications from our findings, for instance, the development of programmes for promoting EI or PWB among teaching staff. An example can be found in Hué (2007), who developed the method of emotional thought, whose objective is that teaching staff develops PWB based on EI principles. With regards to PWB viewed as a mediating variable, we should highlight the programme developed by Lyubomirsky (2008).

Although this programme is not explicitly directed at teaching staff, it is indeed aimed at improving PWB among adults. To this end, it includes activities which, in most cases, can be carried out in the workplace, focusing, among others, on the following aspects: 1) expressing gratitude to cope with stress; 2) promoting optimism as an interpretation of the world from a more positive perspective; 3) avoiding excessive thinking, by means of strategies aimed at discouraging negative thoughts; 4) exercising kindness to be attentive to others; 5) taking care of social relationships to communicate, give support, and strengthen loyalty; 6) developing strategies to cope with problems; and 7) letting oneself flow to experience periods of intense concentration and view one's tasks as an exciting challenge.

This study is not without limitations, which should be taken into account in future research. Firstly, although the sample distribution is very similar to the total defined population, the sample size should be expanded to achieve as much representation as possible. Secondly, we should emphasise the need to carry out longitudinal studies to confirm the results presented in this paper. Other evaluation instruments should also be used, e.g., execution tests, in order to corroborate the results based on different methodologies, thus finding out whether they can be generalised or not.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Aldás, J., & Uriel, E. (2017). *Análisis multivariante aplicado con R*. Ediciones Paraninfo SA.
- Andrés, V. (2012). *Inteligencia emocional y bienestar subjetivo: un estudio en adolescentes* [tesis doctoral]. Universitat Jaume I, Castellón. <https://doi.org/10.6035/forumrecerca.2012.17.14>
- Aranguren, M., & Irrazabal, N. (2015). Estudio de las propiedades psicométricas de las escalas de bienestar psicológico de Ryff en una muestra de estudiantes argentinos. *Ciencias Psicológicas*, 9(1), 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.5872/psiciencia/71.022603>
- Arrogante, Ó. (2014). Mediación de la resiliencia entre *burnout* y salud en el personal de enfermería. *Enfermería Clínica*, 24(5), 283-289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enfcli.2014.06.003>
- Augusto-Landa, J. M., López-Zafra, E., Berrios-Martos, M. P., & Pulido-Martos, M. (2012). Analyzing the relations among perceived emotional intelligence, affect balance and burnout. *Psicología Conductual*, 20(1), 151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02134748.2017.1352170>
- Barraca, J. (2010). Emociones negativas en el profesorado universitario: "Burnout", estrés laboral y "mobbing". *EduPsykhé: Revista de Psicología y Psicopedagogía*, 9(1), 85-100. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12020/22>
- Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., Shiffman, S., Lerner, N., & Salovey, P. (2006). Relating emotional abilities to social functioning: A comparison of self-report and performance measures of emotional intelligence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(4), 780. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.4.780>
- Bresó, E., Salanova, M., Schaufeli, W., & Nogareda, C. (2007). Síndrome de estar quemado por el trabajo "burnout" (III): Instrumento de medición. *Nota Técnica De Prevención*, 732, 21ª serie. Instituto Nacional de Seguridad e Higiene en el Trabajo. <https://doi.org/10.33670/18181023.v4i01.21>
- Carvajal, R., Blanco, A., & Moreno-Jiménez, B. (2008). Ryff's six-factor model of psychological well-being: A Spanish exploration. *Social Indicators Research*, 87, 473-479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-007-9174-7>
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297-334. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555>
- Cruz, A. K., Zavala, D. A., & Cruz, M. T. K. (2015). Medición del agotamiento emocional, la despersonalización y la autoeficacia profesional del personal docente de la Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo. *Ciencia Nicolaita*, (64), 59-75. <https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.9786073020305e.2019.c14>
- de los Remedios, M., Pena, M., & Losada, L. (2017). Misión posible: mejorar el bienestar de los orientadores a través de su inteligencia emocional. *Revista Española de Orientación y Psicopedagogía*, 28(1), 19-32. <https://doi.org/10.5944/reop.vol.28.num.1.2017.19356>
- Díaz-Barriga, A., & Luna, A. B. (2014). *Metodología de la investigación educativa: aproximaciones para comprender sus estrategias*. Ediciones Díaz de Santos.
- Extremera, N., & Fernández-Berrocal, P. (2005). Inteligencia emocional y diferencias individuales en el metaconocimiento de los estados emocionales: una revisión de los estudios con TMMMS. *Ansiedad y Estrés*, 11(2), 101-122.

- Fernández-Berrocal, P., Extremera, N., & Ramos, N. (2004). Validity and reliability of the Spanish modified version of Trait Meta-Mood Scale. *Psychological Reports, 94*(3), 751-755. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.94.3.751-755>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equations models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research, 18*(1), 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- García, F. E., Cova-Solar, F., Bustos-Torres, F., & Reyes-Pérez, E. (2018). "Burnout" y bienestar psicológico de funcionarios de unidades de cuidados intensivos. *Duazary, 15*(1), 23-38. <https://doi.org/10.21676/2389783x.2101>
- González, A., Peñalver, J., & Bresó, E. (2011). La evaluación de la inteligencia emocional: ¿autoinformes o pruebas de habilidad? *Fórum de Recerca, 16*(6), 699-712. <http://hdl.handle.net/10234/77307>
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1999). *Análisis multivariante*. Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice, 19*(2), 139-152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/mtp1069-6679190202>
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). *European business review, 26*(2), 106-121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb-10-2013-0128>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In *New challenges to international marketing* (pp. 277-319). Bingley Emerald. [https://doi.org/10.1108/s1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](https://doi.org/10.1108/s1474-7979(2009)0000020014)
- Hué, C. (2007). *Pensamiento emocional: un método para el desarrollo de la autoestima y el liderazgo*. Editorial Mira.
- Ilaja, B., & Reyes, C. (2016). *Burnout* y estrategias de inteligencia emocional en profesores universitarios: implicaciones en la salud laboral educativa. *Psicología desde el Caribe, 33*(1), 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.14482/psdc.33.1.8081>
- Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research, 79*(1), 491-525. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325693>
- Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). *The how of happiness: A scientific approach to getting the life you want*. The Penguin Press.
- Martínez-Ramón, J. P. (2015). Cómo se defiende el profesorado de secundaria del estrés: "burnout" y estrategias de afrontamiento. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones, 31*(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpto.2015.02.001>
- Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1986). *MBI: Maslach burnout inventory. Manual research edition*. Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Maslach, C., Jackson, S. E., & Leiter, M. P. (1996). *Maslach burnout inventory manual* (3rd ed.). Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Mathieu, J. E., & Taylor, S. R. (2006). Clarifying conditions and decision points for mediational type inferences in organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 27*(8), 1031-1056. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.406>
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1995). Emotional intelligence and the construction and regulation of feelings. *Applied and Preventive Psychology, 4*(3), 197-208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-1849\(05\)80058-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-1849(05)80058-7)
- Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. (2000). Models of emotional intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), *Handbook of intelligence* (pp. 396-420). <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511807947.019>
- Mérida-López, S., & Extremera, N. (2017). El Estado de la cuestión sobre inteligencia emocional y "burnout" en el profesorado por países, año de publicación, ciclos educativos e instrumentos de evaluación. *Profesorado, Revista de Curriculum y Formación del Profesorado, 21*(3), 371-389. <https://doi.org/10.30827/profesorado.v22i3.8006>
- Mestre-Navas, J. M., & Fernández-Berrocal, P. (2015). *Manual de inteligencia emocional*. Editorial Pirámide.
- Muñoz, L. M. (2016). Inteligencia emocional percibida y su relación con la satisfacción y el bienestar subjetivo del profesorado. In *Inteligencia emocional y bienestar II: reflexiones, experiencias profesionales e investigaciones* (pp. 660-675). Ediciones Universidad de San Jorge. <https://doi.org/10.26439/ulima.tesis/10631>
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory*. McGraw-Hill.
- Portilla, R. J. M., & Alva, K. J. L. (2019). Bienestar psicológico y síndrome de "burnout" en docentes de las instituciones educativas de jornada escolar completa de dos distritos de la provincia de Cajamarca. *Revista Perspectiva, 20*(3), 320-326. <https://doi.org/10.33198/rp.v20i3.00044>
- Rabasa, B., Figueiredo-Ferraz, H., Gil-Monte, P. R., & Llorca-Pellicer, M. (2016). El papel de la culpa en la relación entre el síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo y la inclinación al absentismo de profesores de enseñanza Secundaria. *Revista de Psicodidáctica, 21*(1), 103-119. <https://doi.org/10.1387/revpsicodidact.13076>
- Rey, L., & Extremera, N. (2011). El apoyo social como un mediador de la inteligencia emocional percibida y la satisfacción vital en una muestra de profesorado. *Revista de psicología social, 26*(3), 401-412. <https://doi.org/10.1174/021347411797361329>
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything or is it? explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*(6), 1069-1081. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069>
- Ryff, C. D., & Keyes, C. L. M. (1995). The structure of psychological well-being revisited. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*(4), 719-727. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.4.719>
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition, and Personality, 9*(3), 185-211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/dugg-p24e-52wk-6cdg>
- Schaufeli, W., Leiter, M., Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. (1996). Maslach Burnout Inventory-General Survey. In C. Maslach, S. E. Jackson, & M. P. Leiter (Eds.), *The Maslach Burnout Inventory: Test Manual* (3rd ed.). Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Van Dierendonck, D., Díaz, D., Rodríguez-Carvajal, R., Blanco, A., & Moreno-Jiménez, B. (2008). Ryff's six-factor model of psychological well-being: A Spanish exploration. *Social Indicators Research, 87*, 473-479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-007-9174-7>